

Available online freely at www.isisn.org

Bioscience Research Print ISSN: 1811-9506 Online ISSN: 2218-3973

Journal by Innovative Scientific Information & Services Network

RESEARCH ARTICLE

-



BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH, 2023 20(4):1095-1098. OPEN ACCESS

Ultrasound evaluation of fetal biophysical profile and its relationship with APGAR score

Fatima Bint Saeed, Syed Muhammad Yousaf Farooq, Muhammad Arsalan Arshad, Hamza Shahzad, Zeeshan Haider, Umema Asmat, Zeeshan Imtiaz, Faseeh Ur Rehman, Tayyaba Mushtaq, Bakhtawar Ali, Farzana Kousar and Muhammad Huzaifa

University Institute of Radiological Sciences & Medical Imaging Technology, The University of Lahore, Pakistan

*Correspondence: bakhtawarali1993@icloud.com Received: 06-Sep., 2023, Revised: 12 December 2023, Accepted: 20 December 2023 e-Published:27 December 2023

Ultrasonographic evaluation of fetal biophysical profile that include fetal movement, breathing and heart rate for fetal wellbeing. To evaluate the fetal biophysical profile and its relationship with APGAR score. Methodology: Cross-sectional analytical study was conducted at Farhat Trust Medical Center using convenient sampling for the duration of 7 months. 120 pregnant females of 18-45 year of age were included in this study and females with any pelvic pathology were excluded from our study. Ultrasound Machine, Toshiba Xario Convex probe frequency 3.5 to 7.5 MHz was used. Significant association between BPP score and APGAR score was found. Out of 120 patients, there were 4 patients who had 4-6 BPP score and their APGAR score was 4-6, there were 37 patients who had 7-8 BPP score and out of 37, 14 patients had 4-6 APGAR score, 17 had 7-8 APGAR score, 6 had 9-10 APGAR score, there were 79 patients with 9-10 BPP score. Out of 79 patients 7 had 4-6 APGAR score. 56 had 7-8 APGAR score and 16 had 9-10 APGAR score. The mean value of BPD was 88.1167 and SD value was 5.66900, mean value of HC was 255.7417 and SD value was 41.04363, mean value of AC was 268.4583 and SD value was 40.18380, mean value of FL was 77.3250 and SD value was 8.31134, mean value of AFI was 11.0408 and SD value was 3.09020, mean value of FHR was 130.7083 and SD value was 9.19663n and lastly EFW mean value was 2.7142 and their SD value was 0.40320. Out of 104 patients with normal movement, 103 had adequate amniotic fluid level and 1 had polyhydramnios. Out of 16 patients with sluggish movement, 5 had adequate amniotic fluid level, 9 had oligohydramnios and 2 had polyhydramnios. Out of 104 patients with normal movement, 103 had adequate amniotic fluid level and 1 had polyhydramnios. Out of 16 patients with sluggish movement, 5 had adequate amniotic fluid level, 9 had oligohydramnios and 2 had polyhydramnios. It is concluded that fetal biophysical profile scores on ultrasound are strongly associated with neonatal outcomes in terms of APGAR score.

Keywords: Oligo hydramnios, APGAR score, Fetal biophysical profile.

INTRODUCTION

A biophysical profile (BPP) includes ultrasound monitoring of fetal movements, fetal tone and fetal breathing, ultrasound assessment of liquor volume with or without assessment of the fetal heart rate. (Manandhar, 2013) The BPP is performed in an effort to identify babies that may be at risk of poor pregnancy outcome, so that additional assessments of wellbeing may be performed, or labour may be induced or a caesarean section performed to expedite birth.(Manning, 2002) Point of care ultrasound (POCUS) in obstetrics is an invaluable tool in the evaluation of the pregnant patient (Habek et al. 2003) (Bano and Hussain 2010) (Baschat, 2001) and (Ebrashy et al. 2005) Given its ease of use and interpretation, a quick assessment can provide important information regarding the management of obstetric concerns. The biophysical profile (BPP) is a maximum 30-minute long

ultrasound assessment to assess fetal well-being coupled with a fetal heart rate tracing. (Czeresnia et al. 2013) The elements are a non-stress test, assessment of fluid index, fetal breathing movements, total body movements, and limb tone demonstrated by flexion and extension of the limbs. (Bardakci, 2010) The modified BPP is a shortened study that involves a non-stress test (NST) and amniotic fluid index (AFI). (Kim et al .2003)

The primary objectives of the BPP are to reduce stillbirth and to detect hypoxia early enough to allow delivery in time to avoid permanent fetal damage resulting from fetal asphyxia. (Lalor. 2008) Before going into a discussion about the BPP, however, it is important to understand that the efficacy of any fetal surveillance method to prevent fetal death or damage depends on an understanding of the particular pathophysiologic process that leads to neurologic damage or fetal death. (Habek et

al. 2003) and (Jamal, 2007)

The APGAR score, a tool used to assess well-being at 1 and 5 minutes after birth, incorporates five elements: respiratory effort, heart rate, reflex irritability, muscle tone, and color. (Manning, 2002) In the preterm infant, the APGAR score is directly related to birth weight and gestational age. Among premature infants, APGAR scores are significantly higher at 1 and 5 minutes in females. (Chen, 2011) In addition, male premature infants frequently require more vigorous resuscitation. (Sapoval, 2019)Higher APGAR scores in the preterm female infant may be related to the higher catecholamine levels found in female infants at birth, resulting in a more normal pressor response and improved cardiovascular stability.(Rambhatla et al. 2003) Following delivery, the infant adopts to the external environment by various physiological changes. (Liu et al 2013)The APGAR score is a method to evaluate these changes. Although it is a useful initial evaluation, APGAR score has some drawbacks.(Kakegawa et al. 2002) While the one-minute score indicates need for resuscitation and the 5-minute score may predict long-term prognosis. APGAR score alone cannot be used to conclude fetal distress.(Fonsecca et al. 2021)

The main objective of the study is to discuss the present and important aspects of the method, and the practical applications and interpretation of its findings, in order to help radiologists improve their knowledge in this specific area of fetal ultrasonography.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cross-sectional analytical study was conducted at Farhat Trust Medical Center using convenient sampling Technique for the duration of 7 months. 120Pregnant females of all age group were included and females with any other pelvic pathology were excluded. Ultrasound Machine, Toshiba Xario Convex probe frequency 3.5 to 7.5 MHz was used. Collected data was stored in Microsoft Excel. Data was analyzed with SPSS version 21.Qualitative data was presented by percentage and frequency. Chi-square test was applied to check the relation between BPP and APGAR score. P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our study, we found that, 120 cases there were the patients who have 4-6 BPP score and their APGAR score was 4-6, there were 14 patients who had 7-8 BPP score and had 4-6 APGAR score, 17 had 7-8 APGAR score, 6 had 9-10 APGAR score. Similar results were noted by Manning et al in 2009 with normal score of 8-10 in 97.5%, 6 score in 1.7%, 4 score in 0.52%, 2 score in 0.18% and 0 score in 0 % cases.15 It was found in our study that neonates born to mother with low BPP had higher risk of having low APGAR score at 1 and 5 min and the relationship between them was statistically significant. A research study by Hina et al at Pakistan, reported positive

score correlation between BPP and APGAR score.(Mohsin, 2001) Similar results were found in study done by Bano et al. in which 70% babies had BPP ranged 9-10, 26% babies had BPP ranged 7-8 and 4% babies had biophysical profile score ranged from 4-6. (Bano, 2010) We found significant association between BPP score and APGAR score. Among the neonates born to women with low BPP score of 6 85.7% of neonates were admitted to NICU. In our study, statistically significant association between BPP score and neonatal outcome was noted. More number of deaths (5 out of 6 neonates constituting 71.4%) were found in neonates born to mothers with low BPP score (of 6). In a study conducted at Nashville TN modified ultrasonography based BPP was used which included expanded scores of foetal movements, foetal breathing and qualitative assessment of accelerated placental maturity and this method was compared with method of Vinzileos and applied to 180 high risk pregnancies to determine the correlation with perinatal outcome. Relationship of results of total score and perinatal outcome showed good predictive values with high specificity and sensitivity. (Rosa et al. 2019) Similar results were found in study conducted in Radiology department PGMI, government Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar from December 2007 to June 2008 There were 5 deaths in neonates born to mothers with BPP score of 6 and 2 deaths (1.4%) in women with BPP score of 8. However, no deaths were noted in new-born born to women with BPP score of 10. Thus, relationship of results of total score and perinatal outcome showed good predictive values with specificity of 98.8% and sensitivity of 82.4%. Statistically significant association was found between 5 min APGAR score and outcome with p-value below 0.001. (Ullah, 2010)It is evident from the data presented that results of our study are comparable to the different studies.

	Frequency	Percent
Mildly depressed	46	38.3
Normal	74	61.7
Total	120	100.0

Table 1: Frequency Distributi	on of APGA	R Grading
	-	

Out of 120 patients 74 (61.7%) had normal APGAR grading and 46 (38.3%) had mildly depressed APGAR grading.

Table 2: BPP sc	ore * APGAR score	Cross	tabulation

		APGAR score			Total	P-
		4 - 6	7-8	9 10	Total	value
BPP	4 - 6	4	0	0	4	
	7-8	14	17	6	37	0.000
score	9 -10	7	56	16	79	
Tot	tal	25	73	22	120	

There were the patients who have 4-6 BPP score and their APGAR score was 4-6, there were 14 patients who had 7-8 BPP score and had 4-6 APGAR score, 17 had 7-8 APGAR score, 6 had 9-10 APGAR score.

Saeed et al.

Significant association was found between BPP and APGAR score.

Table 3: Comparison between Age groups andnumber of abortions

		Abortion			Total	
		0	1	2	3	Total
Age	18 - 30	73	16	6	0	95
Group	31 - 42	15	5	3	2	25
Total		88	21	9	2	120

In age group 18-30 years, 73 patients were with no abortion. 16 had one abortion, 6 had 2 abortion and there will be no female with 3 abortion. In age group 31-42 years, 15 had no abortion, 5 had one abortion, 3 had 2 abortions and 2 have 3 abortions.

 Table 4: Descriptive Statistics

Ν	Minimum	Maximum Mean		Std. Deviation
120	72.00	98.00	88.1167	5.66900
120	180.00	301.00	255.7417	41.04363
120	192.00	315.00	268.4583	40.18380
120	60.00	90.00	77.3250	8.31134
120	3.20	23.00	11.0408	3.09020
120	100.00	140.00	130.7083	9.19663
120	1.70	3.80	2.7142	.40320
	120 120 120 120 120 120 120	120 72.00 120 180.00 120 192.00 120 60.00 120 3.20 120 100.00	120 72.00 98.00 120 180.00 301.00 120 192.00 315.00 120 60.00 90.00 120 3.20 23.00 120 100.00 140.00	120 72.00 98.00 88.1167 120 180.00 301.00 255.7417 120 192.00 315.00 268.4583 120 60.00 90.00 77.3250 120 3.20 23.00 11.0408 120 100.00 140.00 130.7083

The mean value of BPD was 88.1167 and SD value was 5.66900, mean value of HC was 255.7417 and SD value was 41.04363, mean value of AC was 268.4583 and SD value was 40.18380, mean value of FL was 77.3250 and SD value was 8.31134, mean value of AFI was 11.0408 and SD value was 3.09020, mean value of FHR was 130.7083 and SD value was 9.19663 and lastly EFW mean value was 2.7142 and their SD value was 0.40320.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that fetal biophysical profile scores on ultrasound are strongly associated with neonatal outcomes in terms of APGAR score.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared that present study was performed in absence of any conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to allah almighty and we would like to acknowledge and give our thanks to our Research Head Dr. Syed Muhammad Yousaf Farooq and supervisor Dr. Umema Asmat, their guidance carried us through all the stages of writing our project.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FBS, HS, TM, MH, BA and MAA was involved in data collection and writing the manuscript. SMYF, ZH and UA designed and supervised the project. SMYF reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

Copyrights: © 2023@ author (s).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

REFERENCES

- Bano B, Hussain U, Zahid B. fetal biophysical profile: as a tool to predict fetal outcome. The Professional Medical Journal. 2010 Dec 10;17(04):670-5.
- Bardakci M, Balci O, Acar A, Colakoglu MC. Comparison of modified biophysical profile and doppler ultrasound in predicting the perinatal outcome at or over 36 weeks of gestation. Gynecologic and obstetric investigation. 2010;69(4):245-50.
- Baschat, A.A., Gembruch, U. and Harman, C.R., 2001. The sequence of changes in Doppler and biophysical parameters as severe fetal growth restriction worsens. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology: The Official Journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 18(6), pp.571-577.
- Chen KH, Chen LR, Lee YH. Exploring the relationship between preterm placental calcification and adverse maternal and fetal outcome. Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2011 Mar;37(3):328-34.
- Czeresnia, J.M., AraujoJúnior, E., Cordioli, E., Martins, W.P., Nardozza, L.M.M. and Moron, A.F., 2013. Applicability of the rapid biophysical profile in antepartum fetal well-being assessment in high-risk pregnancies from a university hospital in São Paulo, Brazil: preliminary results. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2013.
- Ebrashy A, Azmy O, Ibrahim M, Waly M, Edris A. Middle cerebral/umbilical artery resistance index ratio as sensitive parameter for fetal well-being and neonatal outcome in patients with preeclampsia: case-control study. Croatian medical journal. 2005 Oct 1;46(5).
- Fonseca DF, Vilela C, Pinto RJ, Bastos V, Oliveira H, Catarino J, Faísca P, Rosado C, Silvestre AJ, Freire CS. Bacterial nanocellulose-hyaluronic acid microneedle patches for skin applications: In vitro and in vivo evaluation. Materials Science and Engineering: C. 2021 Jan 1;118:111350.
- Habek D, Hodek B, Herman R, Jugović D, Habek JČ, Salihagić A. Fetal biophysical profile and cerebroumbilical ratio in assessment of perinatal outcome in growth-restricted fetuses. Fetal diagnosis and therapy. 2003;18(1):12-6.
- Habek D, Hodek B, Herman R, Jugović D, Habek JČ, Salihagić A. Fetal biophysical profile and cerebroumbilical ratio in assessment of perinatal outcome in

Saeed et al.

growth-restricted fetuses. Fetal diagnosis and therapy. 2003;18(1):12-6.

- Jamal A, Marsoosi V, Noori LE. A prospective trial of the fetal biophysical profile versus modified biophysical profile in the management of high risk pregnancies. ActaMedicaIranica. 2007:204-8.
- Kakegawa T, Ise H, Sugihara N, Nikaido T, Negishi N, Akaike T, Tanaka E. Soluble asialoglycoprotein receptors reflect the apoptosis of hepatocytes. Cell Transplantation. 2002 Jul;11(5):407-15.
- Kim SY, Khandelwal M, Gaughan JP, Agar MH, Reece EA. Is the intrapartum biophysical profile useful?. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2003 Sep 1;102(3):471-6.
- Lalor JG, Fawole B, Alfirevic Z, Devane D. Biophysical profile for fetal assessment in high risk pregnancies. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2008(1).
- Liu J, Czernick D, Lin SC, Alasmari A, Serge D, Salih E. Novel bioactivity of phosvitin in connective tissue and bone organogenesis revealed by live calvarial bone organ culture models. Developmental biology. 2013 Sep 1;381(1):256-75.
- Manandhar BL, Giri K, Rana A. Fetal biophysical profile score and perinatal outcome. Journal of Nepal Health Research Council. 2013 Sep 1;11(25):269-72.
- Manning FA. Fetal biophysical profile: a critical appraisal. Clinical obstetrics and gynecology. 2002 Dec 1;45(4):975-85.
- Mohsin, H. (2001). Neonatal outcome as predicted by biophysical profile. In *Medical Forum Monthly* (Vol. 12, No. 6, pp. 17-19).
- Rambhatla L, Chiu CP, Kundu P, Peng Y, Carpenter MK. Generation of hepatocyte-like cells from human embryonic stem cells. Cell transplantation. 2003 Jan;12(1):1-1.
- Rosa GP, Seca AM, Barreto MD, Silva A, Pinto DC. Chalcones and flavanones bearing hydroxyl and/or methoxyl groups: Synthesis and biological assessments. Applied Sciences. 2019 Jan;9(14):2846.
- Sapoval, J., Singh, V., & Carter, R. E. (2019). Ultrasound Biophysical Profile.
- Turan S, Turan OM, Berg C, Moyano D, Bhide A, Bower S, Thilaganathan B, Gembruch U, Nicolaides K, Harman C, Baschat AA. Computerized fetal heart rate analysis, Doppler ultrasound and biophysical profile score in the prediction of acid–base status of growth-restricted fetuses. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2007 Oct;30(5):750-6.
- Ullah, N., Usman, M., & Khan, A. R. (2010). Sonographic biophysical profile in detection of foetal hypoxia in 100 cases of suspected high risk pregnancy. Journal of Ayub medical college Abbottabad, *22*(3), 77-80.