

RESEARCH ARTICLE BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH, 2019 16(2): 1060-1075. OPEN ACCESS

# Biopreservation of the fresh Egyptian nile perch fillets using combination of bacteriocins, sodium acetate and EDTA.

Wael A. Bazaraa<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed E. Abdel- Aziz<sup>1</sup>, Hanan A. Goda<sup>2</sup> and Soheer N. Abdel- Khader<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, **Egypt**. <sup>2</sup>Agricultural Microbiology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, **Egypt**.

\*Correspondence: waelbazaraa@hotmail.com waelbazaraa@agr.cu.edu.eg Accepted: 08 Mar 2019 Published online: 13 Apr. 2019

*Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *Lactis* (NRRL 1821) and *Lactobacillus sakei* (NRRL1917) were screened for bacteriocins production in skim milk (SM). *Lactococcus lactis* subsp.*lactis* showed the highest production and the maximum activity was noticed after 48 h of fermentation. The highest antimicrobial activity was achieved at pH 2.0 in the presence of EDTA (250 ppm). Fresh Egyptian nile perch fillets were treated by dipping in solutions of: fermented SM, (T1); FSM+ EDTA, (T2); sodium acetate, (T3); FSM+ sodium acetate, (T4) and FSM+ sodium acetate+ EDTA, (T5) and stored for 5 weeks in ice. Microbiological, chemical and sensory characteristics of the treated fillets were evaluated. All treatments succeeded in reduction initial psychrotrophic count, increasing the lag phase period and extending the microbial shelf life. T4 and T5 reduced the initial load by 2.4 log (each), elongated the lag phase by 1 and 2 weeks and extended shelf life by 2.3 and 3.0 weeks, respectively. At the end of storage period, T4 and T5 maintained the pH to 6.5 and 6.0 compared with 7.7 of control. The TBA values were 1.56 (T4) and 1.22 (T5) compared with 53.51 mg N/100 g fillets for control at the end of storage period. Similarly, T4 and T5 maintained better (P<0.05) sensory characteristics than control.

Keywords: Bacteriocins, bio preservation, fish fillets, nile perch, Lactococcus lactis subsp. Lactis, shelf life.

### INTRODUCTION

Many food products are perishable by nature and require protection from spoilage during their preparation, storage and distribution to give them the desired shelf life (Rasooli, 2007). Food preservation is a continuous fight against microorganisms spoiling the food or making it unsafe. Traditional food preservation systems such as heating, refrigeration and addition of antimicrobial compounds can be used to reduce the risk of outbreaks of food poisoning as well as to elongate the shelf life. However, these techniques frequently have associated adverse changes in organoleptic characteristics, loss of nutrients and health concern. Therefore, the replacement of traditional food preservation techniques by new ones is seeked (Rasooli, 2007).

Recently, a novel scientific approach that has been widely employed in the food industry and gaining more attention is the bio-preservation technology. By definition this concept refers to the use of non-pathogenic antagonistic microorganisms as protective cultures and/or their antibacterial metabolites (bacteriocins) to inhibit or destroy undesirable microorganisms in foods, improve microbiological safety and extend the shelf life. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have a great potential for use in bio-preservation because of their generally regarded as safe "GRAS" status. LAB are widely used in food industry as starter cultures, co-cultures, or bio-protective cultures in wide range of fermented foods such as dairy and meat products since ancient times without any safety risk (Singh, 2018). Several metabolic products produced by these bacteria have antimicrobial effects including organic acids, fatty acids, hydrogen peroxide and diacetyl (Holzapfel et al., 1995) as well as their ability to produce specific proteinaceous substances, bacteriocins, that inhibit the growth of pathogens such as the genera of Listeria, Clostridium, Bacillus and Enterococcus. Bacteriocins are heterogeneous group of bacterial antagonists that vary considerably in molecular weight, biochemical properties, range of sensitive hosts and mode of action. Attractive characteristics of the LAB bacteriocins which make them suitable candidates as food biopreservatives are as follows: protein nature where they are easily inactivated by proteolytic enzymes in gastrointestinal tract, nontoxic-non immunogenic, thermoresistant, broad bactericidal activity affecting most Gram positive bacteria and some damaged Gram negative bacteria and genetic manipulation is easy since the genetic determinates are generally located in plasmid (Nath et al., 2014). Various protocols for the application of LAB and /or bacteriocins in food are available: the direct inoculation with starter or protective culture where bacteriocins are directly produced insitu, the use of already fermented food with bacteriocin- producing strains as an ingredient in the food processing and/ or the direct addition of purified or semipurified bacteriocins (Raichurkar and Athawale, 2015). Nisin is the classic example of bacteriocins and is a permitted food additive in more than 50 countries including the US and Europe under the trade name Nisaplin (Delves- Broughton et al., 1996). Recently, studies aimed at broadening the bactericidal activity of LAB bacteriocins. Such studies are focused on the synergistic effects of bacteriocins, most notably nisin, with other anti-bacterial factors such as the sodium acetate, hydrolytic enzymes, various chelating agents (i.e. EDTA) and other bacteriocins (Helander et al., 1997).

Fresh fish fillets are extremely perishable and undergo enzymatic and microbiological spoilage faster than other fresh food commodities due to its biological composition. Psychrotrophic bacteria are the major group of microorganisms responsible for the spoilage of refrigerated seafoods (Zhuang et al., 1996). Reliable methods of preservation are needed to extend shelf life and to avoid health hazards. Such methods include cold storage in ice, modified ice storage, low-dose gamma radiation, cook-chill processes and organic acids and their salts (Al-Dagal and Bazaraa, 1999). Factors such as: holding temperature, oxygen, endogengous enzymes, light moisture. most importantly and microorganisms contaminating surface through the preparation step influence the shelf life and the freshness of such products (Zhou et al., 2010).

of One the most investigated new preservation technologies for fresh meat (Al-Sheddy et al., 1999; Amin, 2012) and seafoods (Kim et al., 1995; Al-Dagal and Bazaraa, 1999; Nath et al., 2014) is biopreservation. Such alternative method attempts to be mild, energy saving, environmentally friendly and guarantee natural appearance while eliminating pathogens and spoilage microorganisms. Due to the high popularity of the fresh nile perch fillets (Lates niloticus) in Equpt and due to the limitations in cold storage and transportation, such product faces short microbial shelf life as well as high risk of becoming a source of food borne diseases. Therefore, this study was mainly initiated to screen of two LAB for bacteriocins production and to evaluate the possibility of extending the shelf life of fresh fillets treated with LAB fermented skim milk (FSM) alone or in combination with EDTA, sodium acetate (SA) and stored in ice at 0°C.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Microorganisms and growth conditions

LAB strains: *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *Lactis* (1821) and *Lactobacillus sakei* (1917) were obtained from Northern Regional Research Laboratories, NRRL, (Peoria, IL, USA) and maintained on De Man Regosa Sharpe, MRS, medium (Oxoid, England). Organisms were allowed to grow in MRS for 48 h at 37°C under anaerobic conditions utilizing Oxoid anaerobic Jar and then refrigerated (4°C). Strains were monthly transferred. For fish samples treatment, cultures from refrigerated stock were daily propagated (37°C) for 3 consecutive days in bottles containing 100 ml sterile (121°C/15 min) skim milk (SM). The FSM was then diluted with sterile distilled water (3:1, v/v) and used in fish treatments.

Strain	Code	Source		
Bacillus cereus	33018			
Escherichia coli	35218			
Pseudomonas	9027	ATCC, American		
aeruginosa		Type Culture Collection,		
Salmonella typhimurium	14028	Rockvill, Maryland, USA		
Staphylococcus aureus	25923			
Bacillus subtilis	765	NRRL, Northern Regional		
B. macerans	1650	Research Laboratories,		
Micrococcus luteus	2618	Peoria, IL, USA.		
Bacillus	111			
amyloliqufaciense				
Enterococcus faecalis	90	FS. Food Science		
Escherichia coli	15	Department, Faculty		
Klebsiella pneumoniae	49	of Agriculture, Cairo		
Serratia marcescens	37			
Staphylococcus aureus	112			

Table 1. Code numbers and sources of the indicator ba
---

Indicator bacteria (Table 1) were maintained on Brain Heart Infusion, BHI, medium (Oxoid, England) at 37°C and transferred monthly.

#### Antimicrobial activity

The production of bacteriocins by the test LAB strains in SM was evaluated utilizing well diffusion assay (Zhang et al., 2010). A volume of 0.1ml of each indicator microorganisms (24 h old) containing  $1.0 \times 10^7$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> was spread plated over the surface of plate count agar, PCA, medium (Oxoid, England). After the absorption of the inoculum by medium, wells (5 mm in diameter) were made using the top of sterile Pasteur pipette. Wells were filled with 50 µl of the FSM with the test LAB strains. Plates were left for 45 min at 4°C for proper diffusion of the FSM in the medium. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h and the inhibition of the indicator microorganisms was measured as inhibition zone in mm.

### The effect of pH of the FSM on the antimicrobial activities

Active 24 h old *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *Lactis* (NRRL 1821) and *Lactobacillus sakei* (NRRL 1917) were allowed to grow separately in SM (100 ml) for 48 h at 37°C. The pH of the FSM was adjusted to pH levels of 2, 4, 6, and 8 using diluted HCI (1M) and NaOH (1M), then the antimicrobial activity was determined as described earlier.

### Effect of fermentation time on bacteriocin production

Active 24 h old *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *Lactis* (NRRL 1821) was allowed to grow in 100 ml SM for 24, 48, and 72 h. After fermentation, the pH was adjusted to 2 using HCI (1M) and the antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923), *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 33018), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 35218) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 9027) were tested as described earlier.

### The effect of EDTA on the antimicrobial activities

Test organism was allowed to grow anaerobically at 37°C for 48 h in SM. Different concentration of EDTA (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 ppm) were added to the FSM and pH was adjusted to 2. The antimicrobial activities were then determined for each treatment as earlier described.

#### **Fish treatments**

Fresh nile perch (*Lates niloticus*) with an average weight of 1 kg/fish was purchased from Al-Moneeb fish market, Giza. Fish was directly decapitated, filleted by hand in the market and

then were directly transferred to laboratory in ice box. Upon arrival to laboratory, fish fillets were cut into pieces of 3x3x1 cm. The fish pieces were randomly divided into two lots (replicates), each of which was assigned five treatments in addition to two controls; untreated control (C1), and dipped in sterile water (C2). The treatments were: T1, dipping in diluted FSM (3:1, v/v in water); T2, FSM+ EDTA (200 ppm); T3, SA solution (10%, w/w); T4, FSM+SA (10%, w/w) and T5, FSM+SA (10%, w/w)+ EDTA (200 ppm). For each treatment, samples were dipped for 2 min. into 500 ml of treatment solution and gently swirled with sterile glass rod. Fish samples were removed with sterile tongs and allowed to drain for 2.5 min. on each side, on a pre-sterilized metal met. After draining the excess solution, treated fish samples were placed into sterile stomacher bags (Seward Medical, London) and stored in ice box containing crushed ice. The ice box was then kept at 4°C throughout the storage period of 5 weeks. Melted ice was drained daily out of the ice box and was replaced with new ice when needed. Samples were withdrawn weekly and assessed for pH, total psychrotrophs, thiobarbituric acid (TBA), total volatile base nitrogen (TVB-N) and sensory attributes.

#### **Psychrotrophic bacterial count**

Each fish sample, from each treatment, was weighed (Ca. 14 g) in sterile stomacher bag and sterile saline (0.85 % Nacl, w/w) was added to make a final dilution of 1:10. Samples were homogenized for 1 min. using stomacher labblender 400 (Seward Medical, London). From this mixture, serial dilutions using the same diluent were made. Form the appropriate dilutions, 0.1ml was spread plated on PCA and the inverted plates were incubated at 7°C for 10 days (Cousin et al., 1992). Colonies were then counted and expressed as log<sub>10</sub> psychrotrophic colony forming units (log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>). Generation time (GT) during logarithmic growth was calculated (Mossel et al., 1995).

### pH measurement

Ten grams of each sample were homogenized with 20 ml distilled water for 1 min. and pH was measured using an Orion pH meter (Model 301, USA).

#### Total volatile base nitrogen (TVB-N)

A 100 g fish sample was homogenized (1 min) with 200 ml of 7.5 % (w/w) aqueous trichloroacetic acid, TCA, (Sigma, USA) solution in a laboratory

homogenizer. The homogenate was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and TVB-N was then measured by steam distillation (Malle and Tao, 1987).

### **Oxidative rancidity**

Oxidative rancidity in fish tissues was measured using the thiobarbituric acid,TBA, (Sigma, USA) test in which malondialdehyed, the principal compound in oxidized lipids, reacts with TBA to give red color. Twenty grams of fish was homogenized with 100 ml TCA (7.5%, w/w) for 2 minutes and filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 1. Five ml of TBA reagent (0.02 M 2thiobarbituric acid in distilled water) were added to 5 ml of the filterate in a screw cap test tube. Tubes were placed in a boiling water bath for 40 min., then cooled in tap water and absorbance at 538 nm was measured. A standard curve using malondialdehyed was constructed (Vyncke, 1970).

### **Sensory evaluation**

Treated fish samples were assessed for the changes in color, odor, texture and general appearance on a seven point hedonic scale, on which a score of 7 represented attributes most liked; 3 represented attributes at an unacceptable margin; and 1 represented attributes most disliked (Al-Dagal and Bazaraa, 1999). Samples were given three- digit codes and assessed by 9 member untrained panel (employees of The Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University). On analysis day, treated samples were compared with a fresh control (from frozen stock).

#### **Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using analysis of variance, ANOVA, (Rao and Blane, 1985). Data were presented as means of 3 experiments  $\pm$  SD unless otherwise stated. All microbial data were transformed to logarithms before analysis.

### RESULTS

### The effect of pH of the FSM on the antimicrobial activities

The antimicrobial activities of bacteriocins produced by *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *Lactis* (NRRL 1821) and *Lactobacillus sakei* (NRRL 1917), after growth for 48 h in SM at different adjusted pH levels (2, 4, and 6) against selected indicator microorganisms, are presented in Table 2. Generally, *Lactococcus lactis* subsp.

	Lactoco	occus lactis sub	sp. lactis	Lactobacillus sakei			
Indicator microorganisms		NRRL 1821			NRRL 1917	-	
	2	4	6	2	4	6	
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923	**11.0 <sup>a</sup> c <b>±</b> 0.9	`6.9 <sup>b</sup> a±0.8	3.9 <sup>d</sup> a±0.8	$5.8^{c}_{fg}\pm0.7$	5.9 <sup>c</sup> a±0.8	$3.6^{d}_{ab}\pm0.5$	
Staphylococcus aureus FS 112	10.3 <sup>a</sup> cd±0.7	$5.1^{b}b{\pm}0.6$	$2.7^{d}_{bcd} \pm 0.5$	4.4 <sup>c</sup> h±0.5	3.1 <sup>d</sup> f±0.8	$3.2^{d}_{abc}\pm0.7$	
<b>Bacillus subtilis</b> NRRL 765	9.6 <sup>a</sup> <sub>de</sub> ±0.7	$4.6^{c}_{bcd} \pm 0.5$	3.2 <sup>d</sup> <sub>ab</sub> ±0.7	5.7 <sup>b</sup> <sub>fg</sub> ±0.5	3.8 <sup>d</sup> ef±0.8	3.6 <sup>d</sup> ab±0.8	
<b>Bacillus cereus</b> ATCC 33018	8.7 <sup>a</sup> e±0.5	3.1 <sup>ef</sup> e±0.8	$2.6^{f}_{bcd}\pm0.5$	6.0 <sup>b</sup> efg±0.9	4.1 <sup>cd</sup> def±0.8	3.0 <sup>ef</sup> abc±0.7	
Bacillus amyloliqufaciense FS 111	8.6ª <sub>e</sub> ±0.5	4.8 <sup>b</sup> bc±0.7	$2.6^{c}_{bcd} \pm 0.8$	4.9 <sup>b</sup> gh±0.8	3.1 <sup>c</sup> f±0.8	2.6 <sup>c</sup> bc±0.5	
Bacillus macerans NRRL 1650	8.8 <sup>b</sup> e±0.4	3.1 <sup>d</sup> e±0.6	2.1 <sup>e</sup> <sub>cd</sub> ±0.8	9.9 <sup>a</sup> a±0.9	5.4 <sup>c</sup> ab±0.5	3.1 <sup>d</sup> abc±0.7	
<i>Micrococcus luteus</i> NRRL 2618	12.7 <sup>a</sup> b±0.7	$4.6^{c}_{bcd}\pm0.5$	3.0 <sup>d</sup> abcd±0.7	7.1 <sup>b</sup> de±0.8	5.0 <sup>c</sup> abcd±0.9	$3.0^{d}_{abc} \pm 0.5$	
Enterococcus faecalis FS 90	13.0 <sup>a</sup> ab±0.7	4.7 <sup>c</sup> <sub>bc</sub> ±0.6	2.0 <sup>e</sup> d±0.7	6.2 <sup>b</sup> ef±0.7	4.0 <sup>d</sup> def±0.7	2.2 <sup>e</sup> c±0.8	
Escherichia coli ATTC 35218	13.7 <sup>a</sup> ab±0.9	$3.8^{d}_{cde}\pm0.4$	2.7 <sup>e</sup> <sub>bcd</sub> ±0.5	8.6 <sup>b</sup> bc±0.5	5.3 <sup>c</sup> <sub>abc</sub> ±0.7	3.2 <sup>de</sup> abc±0.7	
<b>Escherichia coli</b> FS 15	10.0 <sup>a</sup> cd±0.9	$4.4^{c}_{bcd}\pm0.5$	$2.7^{d}_{bcd}\pm0.5$	7.9 <sup>b</sup> cd±0.8	4.6 <sup>c</sup> <sub>bcde</sub> ±0.7	2.1 <sup>d</sup> c±0.8	
Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028	14.0 <sup>a</sup> a±0.9	$4.4^{c}_{bcd}\pm0.5$	$2.4^{e}_{bcd}\pm0.7$	7.6 <sup>b</sup> cd±0.5	4.9 <sup>c</sup> abcde±0.9	3.9 <sup>d</sup> a±0.7	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 9027	8.7 <sup>b</sup> e±0.5	3.6 <sup>c</sup> de±0.5	3.0 <sup>d</sup> abcd±0.5	9.7 <sup>a</sup> ab ±0.9	3.8 <sup>c</sup> ef±0.7	2.3 <sup>e</sup> c±0.9	
Serratia marcescens FS 37	10.9 <sup>a</sup> c±0.9	5.1 <sup>c</sup> <sub>b</sub> ±0.8	2.6 <sup>e</sup> bcd±0.5	$7.4^{b}_{cd} \pm 0.5$	4.2 <sup>d</sup> <sub>cdef</sub> ±0.8	2.4 <sup>e</sup> <sub>bc</sub> ±0.7	
Klebsiella pneumoniae FS 49	8.4 <sup>b</sup> e±0.7	5.1 <sup>c</sup> b±0.8	3.1 <sup>d</sup> abc <b>±0.7</b>	9.6 <sup>a</sup> ab±0.7	3.2 <sup>d</sup> f±0.4	2.4 <sup>e</sup> bc±0.5	

Table 2. Antimicrobial activities of bacteriocins of selected LAB* at d	lifferent pH levels (2, 4 and 6).
---	-----------------------------------

\* LAB were allowed to grow at 37°C for 48 h in SM at initial pH of 6. \*\* Means activity (mm) followed by different superscripts (within rows) and different subscripts (within columns) are significantly different (P<0.05).

Lactis was the most active (p<0.05) strain against the tested indicator microorganisms. Data (Table 2) revealed that at all tested pH levels (2, 4 and 6), the highest obtained antimicrobial activites were for Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis and were highest against 85.7 % of the tested indicator organisms, followed by Lactobacillus sakei (14.3 %). It is of interest to report that the antimicrobial activites were against Gram positive as well as Gram negative organisms. Nomoto (2005) reported the antimicrobial activites of LAB against both Gram positive and Gram negative organisms. Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis is widely used for production of nisin, which is active bacteriocin against Gram positive bacteria while it is generally inactive against Gram negative bacteria due to the resistance conferred by the outer membrane (Sarika et al., 2011). The activities obtained against the Gram negative organisms could be due to the production of organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, diacetyle and possible other bacteriocins (Raichurkar and Athawale, 2015). It was also noted that the highest antimicrobial activities of Lactobacillus sakei were towards the Gram negative bacteria Escherichia coli 35218, Escherichia coli 15, Salmonella typhimurium 14028, Pseudomonas aeruginosa 9027, Klebsiella pneumoniae 49 and Serratia marcescens 37. Bacteriocins produced by the two test organisms were noted to have maximum antimicrobial activity at pH 2.0. Such activities significantly (P<0.05) decreased by increasing pH value and the activities were completely lost at pH 8.0 (data not shown). Such findings are supported by those of Messens and DeVuyst (2002) and Joshi et al., (2006) who indicated pH values of ≤ 5 to maximize antimicrobial activities of tested LAB. Similarly, Khan et al., (2015) indicated the highest activities of bacteriocins at the acidic pH range of 2 to 3 followed by sharp loss at higher pH levels. Adesina and Enerijiofi (2016) reported the acidic pH range of 2 to 5 to get the maximum bacteriocins activities. The obtained results therefore, indicate the possibility of using such product to extend the shelf life of acidic or acidified foods. Lactococcus lactis subsp.lactis was therefore chosen for further experiments.

## Effect of fermentation time on the antimicrobial activities of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *Lactis*

The highest production of bacteriocin was observed after 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. Increasing fermentation period over 48 h significantly (P<0.05) resulted in reduction in bacteriocin production (figure 1). Such reduction in bacteriocin biosynthesis could be due to the effect of proteases released during prolonged incubation (Piard et al.,1990). Similar results were obtained by Campos et al., (2006) and Danial et al., (2016) where fermentation period of 24 to 48 h maximized bacteriocin production.

### The effect of EDTA on the antimicrobial activities of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis*

Nisin acts generally on Gram positive bacteria. In contrast, Gram negative bacteria resists this bacteriocin due to that the lipopolysaccharide layer acts as a barrier, preventing the diffusion of nisin to its site of action (Gyawali and Ibrahim, 2014). However, upon the destabilization of this layer, this group of bacteria become susceptible to such antimicrobial agents. This destabilization could be achieved using EDTA as chelating agent which binds to magnesium ions of the lipopolysaccharide layer causing membrane disruption, rendering Gram negative bacteria sensetive to nisin (Prudêncio et al., 2016). The maximum permitlable concentration of EDTA in fresh fish is 50 - 250 ppm (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2015). Therefore, the effect of EDTA was tested in concentration of 0 to 250 ppm. Data (Table 3) indicate that the addition of EDTA to the FSM generally improved the antimicrobial activities against the tested G+ or G- organisms. The antimicrobial activities increased by increasing EDTA concentration where a range of 76.9 to 100% of the maximum activities obtained at 250 ppm were achieved at 150 ppm and the range of 85.3 to 100 % were obtained at 200 ppm. The improvement (%) range in antimicrobial activity (200 ppm) was from 78 to 116.3 % for the Gbacteria (in exception of Klebsilla pneumoniae, where the improvement was 18.9 % only) when compared with controls. Such results were expected since EDTA facilitated the entrance of bacteriocins inside the cell (Prudêncio et al., 2016). Khan et al., (2015) succeeded to inhibit Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium when a compination of nisin (125-150 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and Na- EDTA (20-30 mM) at pH of 5 to 6 was utilized. Prudêncio et al. (2016) referred the influence of temperature and pH on the susceptibility of Salmonella typhimurium to the combination of nisin and EDTA.

Indicator microorganisms	Inhibition zone (mm)					
-	0	50	100	150	200	250 ppm
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923	**11.0 <sup>d</sup> bcd <b>±</b> 0.7	12.1 <sup>c</sup> bcd±0.5	12.1 <sup>c</sup> d±0.5	16.0 <sup>b</sup> cd±0.8	17.7 <sup>a</sup> cd±0.5	18.3ª <sub>cd</sub> ±0.7
Staphylococcus aureus FS 112	10.3 <sup>e</sup> d±0.8	12.8 <sup>d</sup> bc±0.9	14.5 <sup>c</sup> c±0.7	18.0 <sup>b</sup> bc±0.8	20.1 <sup>a</sup> b±0.7	20.2 <sup>a</sup> b ±0.6
<b>Bacillus subtilis</b> NRRL 765	$9.6^{e}_{de} \pm 0.8$	11.4 <sup>d</sup> d±0.7	12.5 <sup>c</sup> d ±0.8	13.4 <sup>b</sup> e±0.8	15.2ª <sub>d</sub> ±0.8	15.7ª <sub>d</sub> ±0.7
Bacillus cereus ATCC 33018	8.7 <sup>e</sup> e±0.7	9.6 <sup>d</sup> e±0.6	11.1 <sup>c</sup> e±0.7	12.3 <sup>b</sup> f±0.5	12.7ª <sub>e</sub> ±0.7	12.6ª <sub>e</sub> ±0.8
Bacillus amyloliqufaciense FS 111	8.6 <sup>e</sup> e±0.7	10.2 <sup>d</sup> e±0.8	11.5 <sup>c</sup> e±0.5	13.7 <sup>b</sup> e±0.5	14.5 <sup>a</sup> a±0.5	14.3ª <sub>de</sub> ±0.5
Bacillus macerans NRRL 1650	8.8 <sup>c</sup> e±0.5	8.9 <sup>c</sup> ef±0.5	10.5 <sup>b</sup> ef±0.5	12.0ª <sub>f</sub> ±0.5	12.3ª <sub>e</sub> ±0.8	12.4 <sup>a</sup> e±0.8
Micrococcus luteus NRRL 2618	12.7 <sup>f</sup> b±0.5	14.7 <sup>e</sup> ab±0.6	17.6 <sup>d</sup> b±0.7	19.3 <sup>c</sup> b±0.5	20.8 <sup>b</sup> b±0.5	23.0 <sup>a</sup> ab±0.5
Enterococcus faecalis FS 90	13.0 <sup>c</sup> ab±0.5	13.3 <sup>c</sup> b±0.5	17.6 <sup>b</sup> b±0.8	20.1 <sup>a</sup> ab±0.8	21.0 <sup>a</sup> b±0.7	21.3 <sup>a</sup> b±0.8
<b>Escherichia coli</b> ATTC 35218	13.7 <sup>f</sup> a±0.5	16.8 <sup>e</sup> a±0.5	18.9 <sup>d</sup> a±0.5	22.5 <sup>c</sup> a±0.4	25.0 <sup>b</sup> a±0.9	28.2 <sup>a</sup> a±0.7
<b>Escherichia coli</b> FS 15	10.0 <sup>f</sup> d±0.9	11.2 <sup>e</sup> d±0.8	13.7 <sup>d</sup> cd±0.7	15.3 <sup>c</sup> e±0.7	17.8 <sup>b</sup> cd±0.7	19.9 <sup>a</sup> c±0.8
Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028	14.0 <sup>e</sup> a±0.5	14.6 <sup>e</sup> ab±0.6	16.8 <sup>d</sup> bc±0.7	20.4 <sup>c</sup> ab±0.5	22.6 <sup>b</sup> ab±0.5	26.5 <sup>a</sup> a±0.9
<b>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</b> ATCC 9027	8.7 <sup>e</sup> e±0.7	13.0 <sup>d</sup> b±0.7	16.5 <sup>c</sup> bc±0.5	17.3 <sup>c</sup> c ±0.7	18.4 <sup>b</sup> c±0.5	18.8ªc±0.7
Serratia marcescens FS 37	10.9 <sup>f</sup> cde±0.9	13.2 <sup>e</sup> b ±0.8	14.5 <sup>d</sup> c ±0.7	15.7 <sup>c</sup> cd±0.5	17.4 <sup>b</sup> cd±0.7	19.4ª <sub>c</sub> ±0.7
Klebsiella pneumoniae FS 49	8.4 <sup>c</sup> f±0.5	8.2 <sup>c</sup> f±0.5	9.0 <sup>b</sup> f±0.7	10.0 <sup>a</sup> g±0.7	10.3ª <sub>f</sub> ±0.7	10.0 <sup>a</sup> f±0.5

### Table 3. Effect of different concentrations of EDTA (ppm) on the antimicrobial activities of bacteriocins of Lactococcus lactis subsp. Lactis NRRL1821 produced during SM fermentation (48 h at 37°C).

\*\*Means followed by different superscripts (within rows) and different subscripts (within columns) are significantly different (P<0.05).



Figure 1. Effect of fermentation time on the antimicrobial activities *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* NRRL 1821 against selected indicator microorganisms.

### Psychrotrophic bacterial count

Total psychrotrophic bacterial count (Log<sub>10</sub> cfu g-1) in controls and in treated samples are presented in Figure 2. An initial count of 6.4 Log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> for the untreated control (C1) indicated low microbial quality. This high microbial load was due to the bad hygiene followed during preparation of fillets in market. However, the fillets were still acceptable since the onset of microbial spoilage of shrimp and fish fillets is considered to be  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  cells per gram (Ayers, 1960). Dipping in water (C2) was able to reduce microbial load by only 0.3 log and this was due to the washing effect of surface microorganisms. On the other hand, dipping in FSM (T1) resulted in one Log reduction (P<0.05) in psychrotrophic bacterial count. Such decrease was expected and could be attributed to compounds: lactic acid and other antimicrobial substances (bacteriocins) produced during fermentation of SM using Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis. Treatments of fillets with FSM+EDTA (200 ppm), SA (10%, w/w), FSM+SA (10%, w/w) and FSM+SA (10%, w/w) + EDTA (200 ppm) resulted in significance (P<0.05) reduction of total psychrotrophs and the decrements were 2.3, 2.1, 2.4, and 2.4 log,

respectively. During storage at 0°C in crushed ice, C1 and C2 showed gradual increase in psychrotrophs reaching the onset of spoilage of 107cfu g<sup>-1</sup> after 1.8 weeks. Treatment with FSM (T1) resulted in reduction of the initial count from 6.4 to 5.4  $\log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> followed by a slight decrease in numbers reaching 4.7 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> after 2 weeks of storage after which a significant gradual increase in number was observed reaching spoilage onset after 3.3 weeks. Treatments T2 (FSM+ EDTA), T3 (SA) and T4 (FSM+SA) significantly (P<0.05) reduced the initial numbers by 2.3, 2.1 and 2.4 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>. Also, lag phases were extended for an additional one week followed by gradual increase in numbers reaching the spoilage onset after 3, 3 and 4.1 weeks, respectively. Such findings resulted in shelf life extension by 1.2, 1.2 and 2.3 weeks (Table 4). Treatment 5 (FSM+SA + EDTA) was the highest in extending lag phase up to 3 weeks and shelf life to 4.8 weeks. It is of interest to report that the generation time (Table 4) of the spoilage microorganisms in the controls was around 63.2 h.



Figure 2. Total psychrotrophic count of treated nile perch fillets during 5 weeks storage in ice.

Table 4. Initial microbial load, lag phase, generation time (GT) of the spoilage microorganism and shelf life of fish fillets treated with different treatments during ice storage.

*T	Initial load (log₁₀ cfu g⁻¹)	Lag phase (week)	GT (h)	Shelf life (weeks)
C1	6.4	1	63.2	1.8
C2	6.1	1	63.1	1.8
T1	5.4	2	26.6	3.3
T2	4.1	2	21.1	3.0
Т3	4.3	2	16.8	3.0
T4	4.0	2	26.6	4.1
Т5	4.0	3	26.6	4.8

\*T= Treatment: C1= untreated control, C2= dipped in water control, T1= dipped in FSM, T2= dipped in FSM+EDTA, T3= dipped in SA, T4= dipped in FSM+SA and T5= dipped in FSM+SA+EDTA.

The use of FSM resulted in a shorter generation time (26.6 h) calculated from the logarithmic phase. However, improvement of an additional 1.5 week in shelf life was obtained due to the extension in lag phase by 1 week. Generation time of spoilage bacteria again were reduced to 21.1, 16.8 and 26.6 h for T2, T3 and T4, respectively. However, shelf life was extended to 3, 3 and 4.1 weeks compared to 1.8 weeks for control due to the reduction of the initial loads to 4.1, 4.3 and 4.0 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively and due to the extension of the lag phase by additional one week for each of them. Therefore, treatments didn't succeed in increasing the generation time of the spoilage microorganisms, but significantly (P<0.05) improved the shelf life of fish fillets through the reduction of the initial microbial load as well as extending the lag phase periods. Kim et al., (1995) reported that the combination of 2.5% Bifidobacterium infantis with sodium acetate extended both lag phase and generation time of spoilage bacteria during cold storage of catfish fillets and as a result 3 days shelf life extention was achieved. Al-Dagal and Bazaraa (1999) succeeded in prolonging the microbiological shelf life of whole shrimp by 3 days using sodium acetate (10 %, w/w) or potassium sorbate (1.5 %, w/w) with bifidobacteria through increasing the generation time from 12.8 h (control) to 30.1 or 31.4 h, respectively. They also stated that sodium acetate (10%, w/w) extended the lag phase (from 3 to 6 days), lengthened the generation time and prolonged the shelf life from 10 to > 26.8 days in case of peeled shrimp. Sallam (2007) reported a shelf life of 12, 12 and 15 days at refrigerated storage (1°C) for sliced salamon treated with sodium lactate (2.5 %, w/w), sodium citrate (2.5 % sodium acetate w/w) and (2.5%. w/w). respectively. Sarika et al., (2011) studied the effect of using Enterococcus faecalis CD1 bacteriocin as a biopreservative of fish under different storage conditions. The use of enterocin CD1 (10%) resulted in reduction in total viable count by 2 log units (from 11.72 to 9.82 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>) at the end of storage period (28 days) at 4°C.

### Changes in pH

Changes in pH values of fish fillets during ice storage are reported in Table 5. The initial pH value of the fresh nile perch fillets (C1) was 6.2. Similarly, with no significant differences (P<0.05) with C1, samples of C2, T2 (FSM+EDTA) and T3 (SA) showed initial pH values of 6.1, 6.1 and 5.8, respectively. On the other hand, T1 (FSM), T4 (FSM+SA) and T5 (FSM+SA+EDTA) resulted in a significant decrease in pH values to reach 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8, respectively. This could be attributed to the acidity of the FSM (adjusted to pH of 2.0). During ice storage (0°C) for 5 weeks, the pH values of T2 and T3 samples showed no significant (P<0.05) changes in pH . On the other hand, other samples showed a gradual but significance (P<0.05) increase in pH values to reach 7.7, 7.8, 5.2, 6.5 and 6.0 at the end of storage for C1, C2, T1, T4 and T5, respectively. It is of interest to report that all tested treatments met the Egyptian standards that indicated that the pH value should not exceed 6.5. The increase of pH values up to 7.7 and 7.8 for control samples may be due to the increase in volatile basic compounds, such as ammonia, by psychrotrophic bacterial activities (Manju et al., 2007).

### Changes in TBA

TBA values indicate about the oxidative rancidity of the samples. The Egyptian standards (2005) specified 4.5 mg malondialdihyde kg<sup>-1</sup> fish fillets as the maximum permitable limit. The changes in TBA values of nile perch fillets are depicted in Table 6. The initial values for controls and treatments ranged from 0.16 to 0.20. During ice storage for 5 weeks, gradual significant (P<0.05) increase was noted in all samples. Treatments (T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5) succeeded in keeping TBA values much lower than that of the Egyptian standards and values were 2.56, 2.12, 1.74, 1.56 and 1.22, respectively. On the other hand C1, C2 samples exceeded the value of 4.5 of the Egyptian Standards and considered spoiled after 3 weeks of storage where values were 4.79 and 4.63, respectively. Rajesh et al., (2002) observed reduction in TBA values of seer fish steaks treated with sodium acetate compared with control during chill storage. Similarly, Manju et al., (2007) reported TBA values increase from 0.08 to 0.39 for fresh pearl spot fish treated with sodium acetate in vaccum pack within 17 days of ice storage. Langroudi et al., (2011) reported the effectiveness of the treatments of grass carp fillets with sodium acetate and nisin at different concentrations, during refrigeration storage (16 days) at 4°C, in lowering TBA values. They reported TBA values in the range of 0.19 to 0.46 mg malondialdehyde kg<sup>-1</sup> muscle after 16 days of storage.

*т	Storage (weeks)					
•	0	1	2	3	4	5
C1	**6.2 <sup>c</sup> a ± 0.07	$6.8^{b}_{a} \pm 0.07$	$6.9^{b_{a}} \pm 0.14$	$7.1^{b_{a}} \pm 0.14$	$7.6^{a_{a}} \pm 0.07$	$7.7^{a}_{a} \pm 0.07$
C2	$6.1^{b}_{a} \pm 0.14$	$6.5^{b}_{a} \pm 0.14$	$6.5^{b}_{ab} \pm 0.07$	$7.2^{a}_{a} \pm 0.28$	$7.5^{a}_{a} \pm 0.00$	$7.8^{a}_{a} \pm 0.07$
T1	$4.6^{b}_{b} \pm 0.14$	$4.7^{b}_{c} \pm 0.14$	$4.7^{b}_{c} \pm 0.07$	$4.7^{b}_{c} \pm 0.14$	$4.7^{b}_{c} \pm 0.07$	$5.2^{a_{c}} \pm 0.00$
T2	6.1 <sup>a</sup> a ±0.14	$6.2^{a}_{ab} \pm 0.07$	$5.7^{a_{b}} \pm 0.14$	$5.9^{a_b} \pm 0.14$	5.9 <sup>a</sup> b ± 0.14	5.9 <sup>a</sup> c ± 0.14
Т3	$5.8^{a}_{a} \pm 0.28$	$6.3^{a}_{ab} \pm 0.14$	$6.0^{a}_{ab} \pm 0.57$	$6.2^{a_{b}} \pm 0.28$	$6.2^{a_{b}} \pm 0.28$	$6.3^{a_{b}} \pm 0.42$
<b>T</b> 4	$4.7^{c_{b}} \pm 0.14$	$5.7^{b}_{b} \pm 0.35$	$5.8^{b}_{b} \pm 0.07$	$6.1^{ab}{}_{b} \pm 0.14$	$6.0^{ab}{}_{b} \pm 0.07$	$6.5^{a_{b}} \pm 0.14$
Т5	$4.8^{c_b} \pm 0.07$	$5.7^{b}_{b} \pm 0.07$	$5.7^{b}_{b} \pm 0.07$	$6.0^{a_{b}} \pm 0.07$	$5.9^{ab}{}_{b} \pm 0.07$	$6.0^{a_{b}} \pm 0.00$

Table 5. Changes in pH values of nile perch fillets during storage for 5 weeks in ice at 0°C.

\*T= Treatment: C1= untreated control, C2= dipped in water control, T1= dipped in FSM, T2= dipped in FSM+EDTA, T3= dipped in SA, T4= dipped in FSM+SA and T5= dipped in FSM+SA+EDTA.
\*\* Mean pH values followed by different superscripts (within rows) and different subscripts (within columns) are significantly different (P<0.05).</li>

Table 6. Changes in	TBA values du	ring storage for	5 weeks in	ice at 0°C.
---------------------	---------------	------------------	------------	-------------

*т	Storage (weeks)							
•	0	1	2	3	4	5		
C1	** $0.20^{f_{a}} \pm 0.01$	$0.81^{e}_{a} \pm 0.04$	$2.63^{d_{b}} \pm 0.05$	$4.79^{c_{a}} \pm 0.07$	$6.92^{b}_{a} \pm 0.03$	$8.69^{a}_{a} \pm 0.01$		
C2	$0.20^{f}_{a} \pm 0.01$	$0.83^{e_{a}} \pm 0.05$	$2.68^{d}_{a} \pm 0.08$	$4.63^{c_{b}} \pm 0.04$	$6.80^{b}a \pm 0.01$	$8.73^{a}_{a} \pm 0.02$		
T1	$0.18^{e}_{ab} \pm 0.01$	$0.20^{e}$ c ± 0.00	$0.61^{d}_{c} \pm 0.01$	$1.06^{c}_{c} \pm 0.01$	$1.69^{b}{}_{b} \pm 0.04$	$2.56^{a_{b}} \pm 0.06$		
T2	$0.17^{f}_{ab} \pm 0.00$	$0.30^{e_{b}} \pm 0.00$	$0.55^{d}_{c} \pm 0.02$	$0.99^{c_{d}} \pm 0.06$	$1.38^{b}c \pm 0.04$	$2.12^{a}_{c} \pm 0.04$		
Т3	$0.18^{e}_{ab} \pm 0.00$	$0.19^{e}_{cd} \pm 0.00$	$0.38^{d}_{d} \pm 0.00$	$0.63^{c_{e}} \pm 0.00$	$0.96^{b}_{d} \pm 0.00$	$1.74^{a}_{d} \pm 0.00$		
T4	0.17 <sup>e</sup> ab ± 0.01	$0.19^{e}_{cd} \pm 0.00$	$0.37^{d}_{d} \pm 0.01$	$0.63^{c}_{e} \pm 0.00$	$0.92^{b}_{d} \pm 0.01$	1.56 <sup>a</sup> e ± 0.01		
T5	$0.16^{e_{b}} \pm 0.00$	$0.17^{e_{d}} \pm 0.00$	$0.36^{d}_{d} \pm 0.00$	$0.59^{c_{e}} \pm 0.01$	$0.90^{b_{d}} \pm 0.01$	$1.22^{a_{f}} \pm 0.01$		

\*T= Treatment: C1= untreated control, C2= dipped in water control, T1= dipped in FSM, T2= dipped in FSM+EDTA, T3= dipped in SA, T4= dipped in FSM+SA and T5= dipped in FSM+SA+EDTA.

\*\* Means (mg malondialdehyde/kg nile perch fillets) followed by different superscripts (within rows) and different subscripts (within columns) are significantly different (P<0.05).

### Changes in TVB-N

TVB-N is an important parameter for spoilage of fresh seafood (Dalgaard, 2000). TVB-N in fish is mainly composed of ammonia, primary, secondary, and tertiary amines produced as a result of protein and non-protein nitrogenous compounds degradation by spoilage microorganisms. A level of 30 mg N/100g fish muscle (Egyptian standard, 2005) and 35-40 mg N/100g of fish muscle (Lakshmanan, 2000) is usually regarded as spoiled. Table 7 indicates the changes in TVB-N of nile perch fillets during storage for 5 weeks at 0°C. The initial TVB-N values ranged from 4.13 to 6.57 mg N/100g fish muscle. A gradual significant increase (P<0.05) was noted during storage for controls and all tested treatments. The controls C1 and C2 were considered spoiled after 2 weeks of storage since they exceeded the limit of 30 set by the Egyptian Standards. While 3 weeks were needed to reach the spoilage onset reported by Lakshmanan (2000). After 5 weeks of storage in

*Т	Storage (weeks)							
-	0	1	2	3	4	5		
C1	**6.57 <sup>f</sup> a ± 0.32	$13.88^{e}_{a} \pm 0.39$	$36.54^{d}_{a} \pm 0.35$	44.99 <sup>c</sup> a ± 0.41	$50.65^{b}_{a} \pm 0.35$	$53.51^{a}_{a} \pm 0.37$		
C2	$6.57^{f}_{a} \pm 0.32$	$13.98^{e}_{a} \pm 0.25$	$34.76^{d}_{b} \pm 0.34$	$44.10^{c}_{a} \pm 0.00$	$48.75^{b}_{b} \pm 0.64$	$51.50^{a}_{b} \pm 0.00$		
T1	$5.39^{f}_{b} \pm 0.38$	$12.23^{e}_{b} \pm 0.32$	$29.72^{d}_{c} \pm 0.40$	34.25 <sup>c</sup> <sub>b</sub> ± 0.35	$36.63^{b}_{c} \pm 0.13$	$39.51^{a}_{c} \pm 0.02$		
T2	$5.09^{f}_{bc} \pm 0.00$	$11.59^{e}_{bc} \pm 0.37$	$26.85^{d}_{d} \pm 0.35$	$33.65^{c}_{b} \pm 0.35$	$35.98^{b}_{c} \pm 0.35$	$38.78^{a}_{c} \pm 0.39$		
Т3	$5.07^{f}_{bc} \pm 0.04$	$10.34^{e}_{cd} \pm 0.23$	$20.01^{d}_{e} \pm 0.28$	24.53 <sup>c</sup> <sub>c</sub> ± 0.28	$31.45^{b}_{d} \pm 0.35$	$34.25^{a}_{d} \pm 0.35$		
<b>T</b> 4	$4.28_{c}^{f} \pm 0.35$	9.81 <sup>e</sup> <sub>d</sub> ± 0.27	$17.77^{d}_{f} \pm 0.33$	22.41 <sup>c</sup> <sub>d</sub> ± 0.33	$28.61^{b}_{e} \pm 0.35$	$32.20^{a}_{e} \pm 0.00$		
T5	$4.13^{f}_{c} \pm 0.24$	8.20 <sup>e</sup> <sub>e</sub> ± 0.42	$14.86^{d}_{g} \pm 0.21$	19.66 <sup>c</sup> <sub>e</sub> ± 0.21	$24.62^{b}_{f} \pm 0.40$	$29.74^{a}_{f} \pm 0.35$		

Table 7. Changes in TVB-N values (mg N/100 g nile perch fillets) during s	storage for 5
weeks in ice at 0°C.	

\*T= treatment: C1= untreated control, C2= dipped in water control, T1= dipped in FSM, T2= dipped in FSM+EDTA, T3= dipped in SA, T4= dipped in FSM+SA and T5= dipped in FSM+SA+EDTA.

\*\* Mean TVB-N values followed by different superscripts (within rows) and different subscripts (within columns) are significantly different (P<0.05)

ice, the TVB-N values for treatment T1, T2, T3, and T4 were 39.51, 38.78, 34.25 and 32.20, respectively.

Such values were within the acceptable limit of 35-40 mg N/ 100g fish muscle reported by Lakshmanan (2000). The only treatment which met the limit of the Egyptian Standards was T5, where TVB-N value was 29.74 after 5 weeks of storage followed by T4 and T3, where TVB-N values of 28.61 and 24.53 were recorded after 4 weeks and 3 weeks of storage, respectively. Manju et al., (2007) reported a significant increase from initial value of 5.6 to 19.5 mg N/100g fish muscle in controlled air pack after 10 days of storage in ice while to 21.6 mg N/100g fish muscles treated with sodium acetate in vaccum packaging and stored for 17 days. Nath et al., (2014) studied the effect of the treatment of fresh horse mackeral fillets with fresh culture of Lactobacillus sakei (ATCC 15521) on the shelf life and safety of fillets at 6 ± 1°C. They indicated an increasing trend in control and treatments during the 15 days of storage. The highest values was 32.91 for control while significantly lower (P<0.05) value of 24.65 and 25.21 mg N/100 g fillets were obtained for treatments with L. sakei under aerobic condition and vaccum, respectively. Sarika et al. (2018) treated Listeria challenged fish fillets with bacteriocin from Lactococcus lactis and stored at 0°C for 28 days. The TVB-N values showed significant (P<0.05) increase from 5 to 22

### mg N/100g fish muscle. **Sensory analysis**

The nile perch fillets treated with FSM, FSM+SA, FSM+SA+EDTA as well as untreated control were evaluated for the changes in color, odor, texture and overall acceptability during storage in ice (Table 8). During the first week of storage, no significant (P<0.05) changes in color were noted for C1 (untreated control) and T1 (FSM) samples followed by a gradual significant decrease in color scores till the end of storage. Samples were completely rejected after 5 weeks of storage. Samples of T4 (FSM+SA) and T5 (FSM+SA+EDTA) showed constant color scores within the first 2 weeks of storage followed by slight but significant (P<0.05) reduction in color scores (T4) and with almost no significant differences in case of T5. By the end of the 5<sup>th</sup> week of storage both treatments significantly improved color scores compared with C1 and T1. In case of odor scores, no significant changes (P<0.05) were noted within the first week of storage for C1, T1 and T4 samples. On the other hand, T5 resulted in high odor stability with no significant changes through the first 3 weeks of storage followed by slight decrease till the end of storage. Only C1 sample was rejected (score of 2.2) at the end of week 5 of storage, while scores were 3.7, 4.6 and 5.3 for samples of T1, T4, and T5, respectively. Similarly, texture scores were constant within the first week of storage for C1

	Storogo	Mean sensory scores ± SD						
*T	(weeks)	Color	Odor	Texture	Over all acceptability			
	0	**6.3 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.9	5.9 <sup>abc</sup> ± 1.3	$6.4^{abcd} \pm 0.5$	$6.4^{abc} \pm 0.7$			
C1	1	6.0 <sup>abc</sup> ± 0.9	5.7 <sup>bcd</sup> ± 1.2	6.1 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.8	6.0 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.9			
	2	5.4 <sup>cdef</sup> ± 0.9	5.3 <sup>cde</sup> ± 1.4	5.4 <sup>efg</sup> ± 0.7	$4.3^{f} \pm 0.5$			
	3	4.7 <sup>gh</sup> ± 1.1	4.1 <sup>fg</sup> ± 1.7	4.4 <sup>hi</sup> ± 1.2	3.6 <sup>g</sup> ± 0.5			
	4	$3.9^{i} \pm 0.7$	2.9 <sup>hi</sup> ± 1.1	3.0 <sup>k</sup> ± 0.7	2.4 <sup>h</sup> ± 0.7			
	5	$2.9^{j} \pm 0.7$	2.2 <sup>i</sup> ± 1.3	$2.1^{1} \pm 0.8$	$1.7^{i} \pm 0.7$			
	0	5.9 <sup>abcd</sup> ± 0.5	$6.2^{abc} \pm 0.4$	6.0 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.7	5.4 <sup>e</sup> ± 0.5			
T1	1	5.7 <sup>bcde</sup> ± 0.6	$6.0^{abc} \pm 0.0$	5.6 <sup>def</sup> ± 0.9	5.4 <sup>e</sup> ± 0.5			
	2	5.2 <sup>defg</sup> ± 0.6	$5.3^{cde} \pm 0.5$	5.0 <sup>fgh</sup> ± 1.1	$4.6^{f} \pm 0.5$			
	3	$4.2^{hi} \pm 0.5$	4.2 <sup>fg</sup> ± 1.3	4.6 <sup>ghi</sup> ± 1.2	$4.3^{f} \pm 0.5$			
	4	3.5 <sup>ij</sup> ± 1.0	3.9 <sup>fg</sup> ± 1.1	3.9 <sup>ij</sup> ± 1.1	3.5 <sup>g</sup> ± 0.5			
	5	2.0 <sup>j</sup> ± 1.2	3.7 <sup>gh</sup> ± 1.2	3.4 <sup>j</sup> ± 1.3	2.9 <sup>gh</sup> ± 1.0			
	0	$6.6^{a} \pm 0.5$	$6.0^{abc} \pm 0.7$	6.7 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.5	$6.6^{ab} \pm 0.5$			
	1	$6.4^{a} \pm 0.5$	$6.1^{abc} \pm 0.3$	6.7 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.5	$6.6^{ab} \pm 0.5$			
та	2	$6.0^{abc} \pm 0.5$	$5.6^{bcd} \pm 0.7$	$6.6^{\text{abc}} \pm 0.5$	6.2 <sup>abcd</sup> ± 2.0			
17	3	$5.6^{cde} \pm 0.9$	5.4 <sup>bcde</sup> ± 0.9	$6.3^{abcd} \pm 0.7$	5.9 <sup>bcde</sup> ± 0.6			
	4	$5.0^{efg} \pm 0.5$	$4.8^{def} \pm 0.7$	6.1 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.8	5.8 <sup>cde</sup> ± 0.7			
	5	$4.8^{fgh} \pm 0.8$	$4.6^{efg} \pm 0.9$	5.9 <sup>bcde</sup> ± 1.1	5.6 <sup>de</sup> ± 0.5			
	0	$6.3^{ab} \pm 0.5$	$6.8^{a} \pm 0.4$	$6.8^{a} \pm 0.4$	$6.7^{a} \pm 0.5$			
	1	6.1 <sup>abc</sup> ± 0.3	$6.3^{ab} \pm 0.5$	6.2 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.4	6.0 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.0			
Т5	2	5.9 <sup>abcd</sup> ± 0.3	$6.0^{abc} \pm 0.7$	6.1 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.3	$6.0^{\text{abcde}} \pm 0.0$			
	3	5.7 <sup>bcde</sup> ± 0.5	$6.0^{abc} \pm 0.7$	$6.0^{\text{abcde}} \pm 0.5$	6.0 <sup>abcde</sup> ± 0.0			
	4	$5.6^{cde} \pm 0.5$	$5.3^{cde} \pm 0.5$	$5.9^{bcde} \pm 0.6$	$5.9^{bcde} \pm 0.3$			
	5	5.4 <sup>cdef</sup> ± 0.5	$5.3^{cde} \pm 0.5$	$5.8^{cdef} \pm 0.7$	$5.8^{cde} \pm 0.4$			

Table 8. Mean sensory characteristics of nile perch fillets treated with	h
FSM, FSM+SA and FSM+EDTA+SA during storage in ice at 0°C.	

\*T= Treatment: C1= untreated control, T1= FSM, T4= FSM+SA, T5= FSM+EDTA+SA. \*\* Means followed by different superscripts (within) columns are significantly different (P<0.05).For sensory scores, 7= most liked, 3= unacceptable margin and 1= most dislike

and T1 followed by significant (P<0.05) decrease during storage reaching the rejection limit of 3 in case of C1 sample after 4 weeks. T4 significantly (P<0.05) improved fish fillets texture, where scores persisted with no significant changes during the 5 weeks of storage (scores of 6.7 to 5.9). T5 followed T4 in improving and extending the texture acceptability and scores were constant with no significant changes during the first 3 weeks of storage (scores of 6.8 to 6.0) followed by a slight decrease thereafter reaching the score of 5.8. Such persistence in texture scores during the course of storage in case of T4 and T5 was probably due to inhibition of the endogeneous fish alkaline proteases by: the acetate moiety present in both solutions, the low initial pH of both solutions where pH of 4.7 and 4.8 were recorded compared with 6.2 for control and finally due to the presence of EDTA which acts as protease inhibitor (Liang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Zhang et al., (2016) reported optimal pH values of 7.0 and 11.0 for acidic and alkaline endogenous proteases from lizard fish viscera, respectively. Finally, panalists gave T5 the highest scores of overall acceptability, where scores didn't significantly (P<0.05) changed during the first 3 weeks of storage followed by T4. Scores of 5.6 and 5.8 were obtained at the end of storage for T4 and T5, respectively. On the other hand, T1 and C1 samples were rejected and scored 2.9 and 2.4 after 5 and 4 weeks, respectively. The sensory shelf life was 3, 4, > 5 and > 5 weeks for C1, T1, T4 and T5, respectively.

### CONCLUSION

Surface treatment of fresh fish fillets by dipping in solutions of FSM (containing bacteriocins of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *Lactis*) in combinations with SA and/ or EDTA resulted in significant reduction of psychrotrophic bacteria, oxidative rancidity and TVB-N values. Also, high sensory quality was maintained. Such application resulted in microbial shelf life extension up to 4.8 weeks compared with 1.8 for control with keeping both chemical and sensory quality. A further study on the sensory quality of cooked treated fish fillets is needed. Therefore, such treatment is considered to be safe, easy to apply, cheap and very effective in extension the shelf life of fresh fish and seafoods.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared that present study was performed in absence of any conflict of interest.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Prof. WAB designed, supervised all experimental trials and wrote the manuscript. SNA conducted research laboratory work and statistical analysis. Drs. MEA and HAG participated in editing of the manuscript and co-supervised the experimental trials. All authors read and approved the final copy of the manuscript.

### Copyrights: © 2019 @ author (s).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

### REFERENCES

- Adesina IA, Enerijiofi KE, 2016. Effect of pH and heat treatment on bacteriocin activity of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* IO1, *Tetragenococcus halophilus* PO9 and *Lactobacillus cellobiosus* BE1. Sau Sci Tech J 1 (1): 2536 - 6866.
- Al-Dagal MM, Bazaraa WA, 1999. Extension of shelf life of whole and peeled shrimp with organic acids salts and bifidobacteria. J Food Prot 62 (1): 51 - 56.
- Al-sheddy I, Al-Dagal M, Bazaraa WA, 1999. Microbial and sensory quality of fresh camel meat treated with organic acid salts and/or bifidobacteria. J Food Sci 64 (2): 336 - 339.
- Amin RA, 2012. Effect of biopreservation as a modern technology on quality aspects and microbial safety of minced beef. Global J Biotechnol Biochem7 (2): 38 - 49.

- Ayres JC, 1960. The relationship of organisms of the genus Pseudomonas to the spoilage of meat, poultry and eggs. J Appl Bacteriol 23: 471 - 486.
- Campos CA, Rodriguez O, Calo-Mata P, Prado M, Barros-Velazque J, 2006. Preliminary characterization of bacteriocins from *Lactococcus lactis, Enteroccus aecium* and *Enterococus mundtii* strains isolated from Turbot (*Psetta maxima*).Food Res Int 39 (3): 356 - 364.
- Cousin MA, Jay JM, Vasavada PC, 1992. Psychrotrophic microorganisms. In C Vanderzant, DF Splittstoesser, eds. Compendium Methods of for the Microbiological Examination of Foods, Ed3. American Public Health Association. Washington, D. C., USA, pp 153 -168.
- Dalgaard P, 2000. Fresh and lightly preserved seafood. In CMD Man, AA Jones, eds, Shelf-Life Evaluation of Food, Ed 2. Aspen Publisher Inc, London, U.K., pp 110 -139.
- Danial EN, Al-Zahrani SHM, Al-Mahmoudi ZAHM, 2016. Enhancement of novel extracellular bacteriocin production by media optimization using LAB isolate from meat. J Appl Pharm Sci 6 (12): 02 - 27.
- Delves-Broughton J, Blackburn P, Evans RJ, Hugenholtz J, 1996. Applications of the bacteriocin, nisin. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 69: 193 - 202.
- Egyptian Standard Specification, 2005. Chilled fish. Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality Control Ministry and Trade, Egypt, No 3494.
- Food and Agriculture Organization, 2015. CODEX Alimentarius General Standard for Food Additives. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy.
- Gyawali R, Ibrahim SA, 2014. Natural products as antimicrobial agents. Food Control 46: 412 -429.
- Helander IM, Von Wright A, Mattila-Sandholm TM, 1997. Potential of lactic acid bacteria and novel antimicrobials against Gram-negative bacteria. Trends Food Sci Technol 8:146 -150.
- Holzapfel WH, Geisen R, Schillinger U, 1995. Biological preservation of foods with reference to protective cultures, bacteriocins and food-grade enzymes. Int J Food Microbiol 24: 343 - 362.
- Joshi VK, Sharma S, Rana NS, 2006. Production, purification, stability and efficacy of

bacteriocin from isolates of natural lactic acid fermentation of vegetables. Food Technol Biotechnol 44 (3): 435 - 439.

- Khan A, Vu KD, Riedl B, Lacroix M, 2015. Optimization of the antimicrobial activity of nisin, Na-EDTA and pH against Gramnegative and Gram-positive bacteria. LWT-Food Sci Technol 61:124-129.
- Kim CR, Hearnsberger JO, Vickery AP, White CH, Marshall DL,1995. Sodium acetate and bifidobacteria increase shelf life of refrigerated catfish fillets. J Food Sci 60: 25 -27.
- Lakshmanan PT, 2000. Fish spoilage and quality assessment. In TSG lyer, MK Kandoran, M Thomas and PT Mathew, eds, Quality Assessment in Seafood Processing. CIFT and SOFT Publication, India, pp 26- 40.
- Langroudi HF, Soltani M, Kamali A, Ghomi MR, Hoseini SE, Benjakul S, Heshmatipour Z, 2011. Effect of *Listeria monocytogenes* inoculation, sodium acetate and nisin on microbiological and chemical quality of grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella* during refrigeration storage. African J Biotechnol 10 (42): 8484 - 8490.
- Liang FR, Ye CC, Hong YH, Wu CF, Li J, Xu XM, Deng MC, Wang JH, 2016 Endogenous Proteases in the Head of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Transylvanian Review 24(8):1058 - 1066.
- Malle P, Tao SH, 1987. Rapid quantitative determination of trimethylamine using steam distillation. J Food Prot 50: 756 760.
- Manju S, Jose L, Gopal TKS, Ravishankar CN, Lalitha KV, 2007. Effects of sodium acetate dip treatment and vacuum-packaging on chemical, microbiological, textural and sensory changes of Pearlspot (*Etroplus suratensis*) during chill storage. Food Chem 102: 27- 35.
- Messens W, DeVuyst L, 2002. Inhibitory substances produced by Lactobacilli isolated from sourdoughs – a review. Int J Food Microbiol72: 31 - 43.
- Mossel DAA, Corry JEL, Struijk CB, Baird RM,1995. Essentials of the Microbiology of Foods, a textbook for advanced studies. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, pp 63 - 110.
- Nath SCS, Dora KC, Sarkar S, 2014. Role of biopreservation in improving foodsafety and storage. Int J Engin Res App 4 (1): 26- 32.
- Nomoto K, 2005. Prevention of infections by

probiotics. J Biosci Bioeng 100:583 - 592.

- Piard JC, Delorme F, Giraffa G, Commissaire J, Desmazeaud M,1990. Evidence for a bacteriocin produced by *Lactococcus lactis* CNRZ 481, Neth milk dairy J 44:143 -158.
- Prudêncio CV, Mantovani HC, Cecon PR, Prieto M, Vanetti MCD, 2016. Temperature and pH influence the susceptibility of *Salmonella typhimurium* to nisin combined with EDTA. Food Control 61: 248 - 253.
- Raichurkar SJ, Athawale GH, 2015. Biopreservative: bacteriocin its classification and applications in food. Food Sci Res J, 6 (2): 363 - 374.
- Rajesh R, Ravishankar CN, Srinvasa Gopal TK, Varma PRG, 2002. Effect of vacuum packaging and sodium acetate on the shelf life of seer fish during iced storage. Packag Technol Sci 15: 241- 245.
- Rao VNM, Blane K, 1985. PC-STAT, Statistical Programs for Microcomputer. Version IA .Department of Food Science and Technology, The University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA.
- Rasooli I, 2007. Food Preservation A Biopreservative Approach. Food 1(2):111-136.
- Sallam KI, Ahmed AM, Elgazzar MM, Eldaly EA, 2007. Chemical quality and sensory attributes of marinated Pacific saury (*Cololabis saira*) during vacuum-packaged storage at 4°C. Food chem 102: 1061-1070.
- Sarika AR, Lipton AP, Aishwarya MS, Dhivya RS, 2011. Efficacy of bacteriocin of *Enterococcus faecalis* CD1 as a biopreservative for high value marine fish reef cod (*Epinephelus diacanthus*) under different storage conditions. J Microbiol Biotechnol Res 1 (4): 18 - 24.
- Sarika AR, Lipton AP, Aishwarya MS, Rachana mol RS, 2018. Lactic acid bacteria from marine fish: antimicrobial resistance and production of bacteriocin effective against *L. monocytogenes* In Situ. J Food Microbiol Saf Hyg 3 (1): 1 - 6.
- Singh VP, 2018. Recent approaches in food biopreservation- a review. Open Vet J 8 (1):104 - 111.
- Vandenberg PA,1993. Lactic acid bacteria, their metabolic products and interference with microbial growth. FEMS Microbiol Reviews 12: 221 238.
- Vyncke W, 1970. Direct determination of the thiobarbituric acid value in trichloracetic acid

extracts of fish as a measure of oxidative rancidity. Fette. Seifen. Anstrichmittel 72 (12):1084- 1087.

- Zhang Z, Li G, Luo L, Chen G, 2010. Study on seafood volatile profile characteristics during storage and its potential use for freshness evaluation by headspace solid phase micro extraction coupled with gas chromatographymass spectrometry. Anal Chim Acta 659: 151-158.
- Zhong C, Sun LC, Geng JT, Okazaki E, Cao MJ, Weng WY, Osako K, 2016. Characterization of endogenous proteases from lizardfish (*Saurida wanieso*) viscera and associated salt-dependent properties. Int Food Res J 23 (3): 1145 - 1153.
- Zhou GH, Xu XL, Liu Y, 2010. Preservation technologies for fresh meat A review. Meat Sci 86: 119-128.
- Zhuang RY, Huang YW, Beuchat LR, 1996. Quality changes during refrigerated storage of packaged shrimp and catfish fillets treated with sodium acetate, sodium lactate or propyl gallate. J Food Sci 61: 241 - 244, 261.