

Available online freely at www.isisn.org

# **Bioscience Research**

Print ISSN: 1811-9506 Online ISSN: 2218-3973 Journal by Innovative Scientific Information & Services Network



RESEARCH ARTICLE BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH, 2020 17(2):1419-1430. OPEN ACCESS

# Rhizospheric supplements of lead (Pb) as nutrient or pollutant in Mash [*Vigna mungo(*L.) Hepper]: Diagnostic integration by photosynthetic pigments, physiological growth and enzyme assay

Ghulam Yasin, Samreen Fatima, Amna Saher, Saira Sameen, Gull e Nokhaiz and Masooma Niaz

Department of Botany, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan. Pakistan

\*Correspondence: <u>yasingmn\_bzu@yahoo.com</u> Received 01-05-2020, Revised: 27-05-2020, Accepted: 30-05-2020 e-Published: 30-06-2020

An experiment using four mash bean genotypes was conducted to find out the essentiality or toxicity of escalating lead (Pb) concentrations and variations in the sensitivity range of these genotypes. Four genotypes were sown in pots and positioned with complete randomization. Pots by number were replicated four times for each concentration of lead in every genotype. Lead (Pb) was added in soil as Pb (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solutions after twenty days of germination to raise lead concentration of 10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, and 60.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. Photosynthetic pigments and leaf area index was measured in leave of plant on expiry of twenty five days after lead addition. Increments in lead concentration affected negatively by lowering photosynthetic pigments and Leaf Area Index. A significantly conceivable proportion of reduction was evident at 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> lead (Pb) and escalating levels. In this regard, the least effective significant dose was 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> while the most effective proven dose was 60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for each attribute. Lead (Pb) concentration of 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> established an opposite index of an increase in studied characteristics. MASH 80 was the least sensitive while MASH 88 was the most sensitive while MASH 80 the least for nitrate reductase activity.

Keywords: Chlorophyll; Carotenoids; Lead ; Leaf area index; Nitrate Reductase, Mash

#### INTRODUCTION

Soil is the sink and source of heavy metals (both geogenic and anthropogenic) and plants being the ecosystem regulators, balance the chemistry of life on earth. Roots of plants are the only connection between soil and plants which are the real engineers of ecosystem dynamics responsible for environmental balance and stability. The plant-soil interface termed as 'rhizosphere' is a typical zone of soil where the physical, chemical and biological characteristics are different from bulk soil outside the rhizosphere (seshadri et al., 2015). In recent years heavy metal pollutants have increased in environment due to anthropogenic activities causing a serious problem not only for human but for whole ecosystem (Asad et al., 2019; Maleki et al., 2017). These metals contaminate the food chain and become a source of toxicity for ecosystem functioning (Budijono, 2017; Ali and Khan, 2019). Air, soil, and water are contaminated by heavy metals which affect the plants, animals and humans in the food chain (Aendo et al., 2020; Biswas et al., 2019)

Lead (Pb) being a non-degradable and longlived metal is a source of strong rhizospherictoxicity (Bahri et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020). Being highly toxic it pose a threat to plants growth and health [Rizwan et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2014].

Lead (Pb) is one of the potentially toxic heavy metal pollutants of the environment and its concentrations are rapidly increasing in agricultural soils (Hamid et al., 2010). The most significant factors which can distribute lead as a pollutant in the environment are burning of fossil fuels. agricultural manufacturing, mining, pesticides and fertilizers (Ross, 1994). After absorption, it may show some benificial effects (Samiullah and Nazar, 1983) or phytotoxicity as diverse biochemical changes in green plants such as: reduced nitrate reductase activity (Singh et al., 1997), reduction in proteins (Kevresan et al., 2001), decreases in chlorophyll content (Ewais, 1997); increases in chlorophyll a/b ratio and decrease in carotenoids (Fargasova, 2001). These biochemical changes in metal contaminated plants results in senescence and other symptoms like chlorosis, nacrosis and lesions.

Chlorophylls are important pigments of the photosynthetic activity for primary productivity and its degradation contributes significantly to these morphological symptoms. Many studies have demonstrated influences of heavy metals on chlorophyll and protein contents in higher plants (Prasad et al., 2001). Chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll are reported to drastically reduce in metal treated plants (Somashekaraiah et al., 1992). Besides chlorophylls, carotenoids are natural pigments which are synthesized by plants and are responsible for the bright colors of various fruits and vegetables. There are several dozen carotenoids in the foods that we eat, and most of these carotenoids have antioxidant activity. βcarotene has been best studied since, in most countries it is the most common carotenoid in fruits and vegetables. An increase in carotenoid content is suggested a defense strategy of the plants to combat metal stress (Sinha et al., 2007). Reduction in photosynthetic pigments, altered physiological processes and biochemical phenomena are integrated in the form of changes in morphology and yield contributing factors. Among these morphological traits, leaf growth, development and total leaf number decisively determine the yield of a plant (Sharma and Sharma, 1993).

Mash bean [*Vignamungo*(L.) Hepper] is among the most important pulse crops of the world. It has great value as food, fodder and green manure. In addition to improving the soil fertility, it is a cheap source of protein for direct human consumption. Chemical analysis of mash bean seed indicates that it contains protein (20-24%), oil (2.1%), Fats (1-2%), carbohydrates and a fair amount of vitamin A and B (James, 1981). By focusing on the importance of mash bean and considering the toxicity of gradually increasing lead (Pb) concentration in environment, the present experiment was devised to find out the extent of crop sensitivity to various concentrations of lead (Pb).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Under adverse rhizospheric conditions such as presence of heavy metals, plants are reported to show suppression or promotion in growth, development and physiological characters. Hence, a pot experiment was devised to find out Lead (Pb) toxicity extent for four mash bean [*Vigna mungo*(L.) Hepper] genotypes. The plant characteristics selected for evaluation were Chlorophyll a, Chlorophyll b, Carotenoids contents (mg g<sup>-1</sup> leaf F. wt), leaf area index (LAI) and Nitrate Reductase (EC 1.6.6.1) Activity (NRA).

### Materials

After the initial survey, soil free from effluents hazards, was selected for the experiment. After drying soil was mixed well and passed through 2mm sieve. Seeds of four mash genotypes i-e MASH 80, MASH 88, MASH 97 and MASH ES-1 were used in the experiment. For imposing metal pollution in soil, chloride of lead (Pb) of Sigma Aldrich, Japan was used.

#### Experimental design and methodologies

Experiment was designed with complete randomization of treatments and genotypes to avoid unequal exposure of environmental resources. Each treatment was repeated four times by pots. Soil physical and chemical analysis was carried out by methods of Richards. (1954). Earthen pots of 30 cm diameter were filled with 10 kg sandy loam soils and lined with polyethylene bags ensuring seepage prevention and were arranged in completely randomized design. Seeds, similar in size and weight, sterilized with 10% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide, were germinated and thinning was performed to maintain one seedling in each pot in order to avoid the imbalanced uptake of nutrients by plants. Pests and insects were control by spray of insecticides. To develop the metal toxicity, calculated amounts of nitrate of lead (Pb) was added in soil accordingly to raise the lead (Pb) levels of 10.0,

20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, and 60.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. Metals salt was applied in soil as a water solution of  $Pb(NO_3)_2$  (method similar to that used by Stoeva and Bineva ,2003) after twenty days of sowing. Pots without the addition of metals salts acted as control.

## Data recordings

# Chlorophyll (a, b) and Carotenoids Contents (mg $g^{-1}$ leaf F. wt)

Chlorophyll contents were measured by using the method of Arnon (1949) after twenty five days of metal treatment. The leaves were ground and extracted with 80% acetone. The absorbance was measured at 645nm and 663nm for Chla and b respectively and at 480nm for carotenoids by using spectrophotometer (Hitachi Model-U 2001 Japan). Chlorophyll contents were calculated according to the Lichtenthaler (1987) formulae and carotenoids contents were calculated after Davies, (1976).

Chl a (mg g<sup>-1</sup> leaf fresh weight) = [12.7(OD663)-2.69 (OD645)]× V/1000 ×W.

Chl b (mg g<sup>-1</sup> leaf fresh weight) =  $[22.9(OD645) - 4.68(OD663)] \times V/1000 \times W.$ 

Carotenoids (mg  $g^{-1}$  leaf fresh weight) = [Acar/EM] × 1000.

Where

Acar = OD480+0.114(OD663)-0.638(OD645); EM (100%) =2500; OD =Optical density;

V=Volume of samples=Weight of sample.

#### **Physiological Growth**

Physiological Growth in the form of leaf area index (LAI) was measured and calculated by using the formula given by Puttaswamy et al., (1976).

 $LAI = L \times W \times N \times K$ 

Where,

L= length of the leaf in cm; W= maximum width of the leaf in cm; N= number of leaves per plant; K= constant (0.65 for legume crops).

# Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA)

Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA) was determined after twenty five days of metal treatment using the method of Sym (1984). For this purpose, the reagents used were

A) Phosphate buffer (0.2 M) which was prepared using following chemicals

NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 1M solution(156.01 g/l) was prepared as stock

Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (177.99 g/l) M solution was prepared as the stock

B) 0.01M phosphate buffer (pH=7.0) containing 0.02M KNO<sub>3</sub>

1% sulphanilamide in 3N HCL

0.02% N(1-Naphthyl-ethylene diaminedihydrogenchloride)

Leaf material (5 g) was chopped (4 to 5 mm slices) in a 20 ml test tube containing 5 ml of medium, comprising 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.02 M KNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.01% Triton x-100. These test tubes were incubated in dark at 32° C for one hour. The assay of enzyme was done through nitrite analysis as the NO3 of the medium was converted into NO<sub>2</sub> by nitrate reductase. After one hour of incubation period, 1 ml of medium was drawn and mixed with 0.5 ml of sulphanilamide (10 g/l) in 3 ml HCl. Immediately, after shaking 0.5 ml of N-1-naphthyl ethylene diamine dihydrochloride (0.1 g/l) was added to produce pink diazo complex with NO2. After 20 minutes the dye solution was diluted with 5 ml of distilled water and centrifuged for three minutes at 2050 x g to remove turbidity, if present. A correction blank consisting of all chemicals mentioned above without sample was used. The standard curve from NaNO<sub>2</sub> was developed to estimate NO<sub>2</sub> present in media. Optical density was noted at 542nm on spectrophotometer (Hitachi-220).Nitrate reductase activity was calculated as:

Nitrate Reductase Activity=Graph reading  $\times$  Dilution factor  $\times$  O.D of sample (µmol NO<sub>2</sub>/h/g FW)

# Statistical analysis

The data collected were analyzed for analysis of variance for all the parameters using COSTAT computer package (CoHort Software, Berkeley, CA). Duncan's New Multiple Range test at 5% level of probability (Duncan, 1955) was used to compare means. Where significant F values were obtained in analysis, differences between individual means were tested by LSD tests at 0.05% significance level, by using MSTAT-C Computer Statistical Programme (MSTAT Development Team, 1989)

# RESULTS

# Chlorophyll a Contents (mg g<sup>-1</sup> leaf F. wt)

According to Duncan's Multiple Range test (Table: 1), chlorophyll a contents were decreased when lead (Pb) was supplied in the nutrient medium. The effectiveness of lead (Pb) in reducing the pigment was significant at all levels of its concentration. The most promising decrease appeared to occur at 60mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in all genotypes.

Table 1: Chlorophyll a contents (mg g <sup>-1</sup> leaf F. wt) of 45 days old mash [ <i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper]
grown in lead (Pb) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60mg/kg soil) [Values represent
means ± SE].

Lead(Pb)	MASH 80	MASH 88	MASH 97	MASH ES-1	TREATMENT
(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)		SMEANS (LSD=0.096 ;n=16)			
Control	1.448±0.196 [B]	1.707±1.164 [A]	1.426±0.378 [B]	1.080±0.169 [C]	1.415 a±0.316
10	1.313±0.174 (9.323) [B]	1.329±0.164 (22.144) [B]	0.983±0.149 (31.065) [CD]	0.945±0.147 (12.500) [CD]	1.142 b±0.233 (19.293)
20	0.839±0.028 (42.058) [DE]	1.043±0.307 (-19.683) [C]	0.737±0.106 (48.316) [E]	0.443±0.123 (58.981) [F]	0.765 c±0.272 (45.936)
30	0.365±0.067 (74.792) [FG]	0.675±0.164 (60.456) [E]	0.459±0.090 (67.812) [F]	0.325±0.040 (69.907) [FGH]	0.456 d±0.167 (67.773)
40	0.245±0.021 (83.080) [GHIJK]	0.304±0.017 (82.190) [FGHIJ]	0.318±0.125 (77.699) [FGHI]	0.180±0.042 (83.333) [HIJKL]	0.262 e±0.082 (81.484)
50	0.099±0.047 (93.162) [KL]	0.123±0.030 (92.794) [JKL]	0.135±0.047 (19.532) [IJKL]	0.056±0.012 (94.814) [L]	0.103 f±0.045 (92.720)
60	0.047±0.014 (96.754) [L]	0.084±0.048 (95.079) [KL]	0.034±0.012 (97.616) [L]	0.030±0.008 (97.222) [L]	0.049 f±0.032 (96.537)
GENOTYPE S	0.622b±0.554	0.752 a±0.610 (-20.900)	0.584b±0.492 (6.109)	0.437 c±0.405 (29.742)	0.599±0.536
$MEANS \rightarrow$		(LSD=0.07	′3 ; n=28)		

Values in parentheses represent %age increase (+)/decrease (-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. Values followed by dissimilar letters, are different at P = 0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters).

Deviating from the general trend, lead (Pb) added 19.683% more to the chlorophyll a contents when 20mg kg<sup>-1</sup> concentration was imposed to the plants of MASH 88. Among the genotypes, MASH 88 revealed maximum (0.752) and MASH ES-1 revealed minimum (0.437) value for chlorophyll a concentration.

# Chlorophyll b Contents (mg g<sup>-1</sup> leaf F. wt)

Chlorophyll b contents decreased under the influence of lead (Pb) toxicity (Table2). Statistically remarkable variations from control were assessed for more than 10mg kg<sup>-1</sup> lead (Pb) concentration. Exposure to 60mg kg<sup>-1</sup> lead revealed its strongest impact in reducing the chlorophyll b contents in all genotypes. An additive actions of 10mg kg<sup>-1</sup> lead (Pb) was noted for chlorophyll b contents of the plants in MASH 80 by 18.450% and in MASH 88 by 36.164%. Of the genotypes, MASH 97 revealed maximum (0.484) and MASH 80 revealed minimum (0.269) value for chlorophyll b contents.

#### Total Carotenoids Contents (mg g<sup>-1</sup> leaf F. wt)

Reduction in total carotenoids contents were conducive to supplied lead (Pb) toxicity revealing that lead (Pb) toxicity changed the carotenoids contents toward the descending trend (Table: 3). A precise relationship occurred between carotenoids reduction and metal toxicity level, the significant factor of which was consistent under all treatments. Maximum effect of lead (Pb), in all the genotypes, was by 60mg kg<sup>-1</sup> but in MASH 80 the same was achieved by 40mg kg<sup>-1</sup> concentration. Among the genotypes, MASH 88 revealed maximum (0.374) and MASH 80 revealed minimum (0.189) value.

# Leaf area index (LAI)

From the data for mean values shown in Table 4, it could be inferred that the lead (Pb) supply reduced the leaf area index (LAI). lead (Pb) induced reduction was significant in plants subjected to all levels of lead (Pb) toxicity maximum (34.132%) being by 60mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and

minimum (6.153%) by 10mg kg<sup>-1</sup> concentration. All the genotypes responded in a similar fashion to escalating levels of lead (Pb) toxicity. Of the genotypes, MASH 88 yielded maximum (347.051) and MASH 80 revealed minimum (145.871) values for leaf area index (LAI) while the remaining genotypes lied between these two.

#### Nitrate Reductase (EC 1.6.6.1) Activity (NRA)

Lead (Pb) stress imposition induced a gradual reduction in Nitrate Reductase Activity (Table:5). Recorded and documented data for mean performance of NRA reflected the significant role metal played in checking Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA) when supplied above than 10mg kg-1 concentrations. Lower Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA) was detected and documented against 10mg kg-1. The most marked decrease in Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA) was in plants subjected to 50mg kg-1 except in MASH 97 for which the same was true at 60mg kg-1. Although not statistically justified, the role of supplemented lead (Pb) deviated from the logical expectation of reduction when applied in concentrations of 10mg kg-1 in MASH 80, MASH 88 and MASH 97 where plants got an increase of 17.462%, 3.911% and 1.889% respectively in nitrate reductase activity. Among the genotypes, MASH 80 revealed maximum (0.615) and MASH 88 revealed minimum (0.404) values.

Table 2: Chlorophyll b contents (mg g <sup>-1</sup> leaf F. wt) of 45 days old mash [ <i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper]
grown in lead (Pb) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60mg/kg soil) [Values represent
means + SF1

Lead(Pb)	MASH 80	MASH 88	MASH 97	MASH ES-1	TREATMENTSMEAN	
(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)		S (LSD=0.059 ;n=16)				
Control	0.493±0.037 [EF]	0.777±0.210 [B]	1.025±0.105 [A]	0.953±0.106 [A]	0.812 a±0.241	
10	0.584±0.040 (-18.458) [DE]	1.058±0.054 (-36.164) [A]	0.980±0.127 (4.390) [A]	0.707±0.061 (25.813) [BC]	0.832 a±0.212 (-2.463)	
20	0.371±0.023 (24.746) [G]	0.749±0.066 (36.03) [BC]	0.663±0.131 (35.317) [CD]	0.391±0.138 (58.971) [FG]	0.543 b±0.193 (33.128)	
30	0.193±0.026 (60.851) [IJK]	0.409±0.041 (4.736) [FG]	0.360±0.123 (64.878) [GH]	0.247±0.039 (74.081) [HI]	0.303 c±0.108 (62.684)	
40	0.082±0.020 (83.367) [KL]	0.183±0.043 (76.447) [IJK]	0.209±0.065 (79.609) [IJ]	0.100±0.025 (89.506) [JKL]	0.143d±0.067 (82.389)	
50	0.135±0.191 (72.616) [IJKL]	0.112±0.032 (85.585) [JKL]	0.120±0.041 (88.292) [JKL]	0.053±0.021 (94.438) [L]	0.105 d±0.094 (87.068)	
60	0.028±0.003 (94.320) [L]	0.052±0.036 (93.307) [L]	0.034±0.015 (96.682) [L]	0.025±0.009 (97.376) [L]	0.035 e±0.021 (95.689)	
GENOTYPES MEANS →	0.269 c±0.213	0.477 a±0.375 (-77.323)	0.484 a±0.393 (79.925)	0.354 b±0.341 (-31.598)	0.396±0.345	
		(LSD=0.04	45 ; n=28)			

Values in parentheses represent %age increase (+)/decrease (-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. Values followed by dissimilar letters, are different at P = 0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters)

Table 3: Total Carotenoids contents (mg g <sup>-1</sup> leaf F. wt) of 45 days old mash [ <i>Vignamungo</i> (L.)
Hepper] grown in lead (Pb) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60mg/kg soil) [Values
represent means ± SE].

Lead(Pb)	MASH 80	MASH 88	MASH 97	MASH ES-1	Treatment
(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)		Smeans (LSD=0.053 ;n=16)			
Control	0.496±0.055 [E]	0.701±0.093 [AB]	0.765±0.033 [A]	0.724±0.183 [AB]	0.671a±0.144
10	0.437±0.119 (11.895) [E]	0.687±0.058 (1.997) [ABC]	0.595±0.087 (22.222) [CD]	0.532±0.095 (26.519) [DE]	0.563b±0.125 (16.095)
20	0.179±0.009 (63.911) [GH]	0.664±0.213 (5.278) [BC]	0.444±0.051 (41.960) [E]	0.229±0.068 (68.370) [FG]	0.379c±0.223 (43.517)
30	0.091±0.026 (81.653) [HIJ]	0.320±0.110 (54.350) [F]	0.298±0.061 (61.045) [F]	0.153±0.031 (78.867) [GHI]	0.215 d±0.116 (67.958)
40	0.053±0.006 (98.314) [J]	0.166±0.012 (76.319) [GH]	0.189±0.090 (36.078) [G]	0.066±0.010 (90.883) [IJ]	0.118e±0.074 (82.414)
50	0.037±0.019 (92.540) [J]	0.053±0.016 (92.439) [J]	0.065±0.020 (91.403) [IJ]	0.020±0.005 (97.237) [J]	0.044f±0.022 (93.442)
60	0.029±0.042 (94.153) [J]	0.030±0.018 (95.720) [J]	0.015±0.007 (98.039) [J]	0.015±0.003 (97.928) [J]	0.022f±0.022 (96.721)
GENOTYPES MEANS →	0.189c±0.191	0.374a±0.300 (-97.883)	0.339a±0.266 (-79.365)	0.248b±0.270 (-31.216)	0.287±0.267

Values in parentheses represent %age increase (+)/decrease (-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. Values followed by dissimilar letters, are different at P = 0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters).

# Table 4: Leaf Area Index (LAI) of 45 days old mash [*Vigna mungo*(L.)Hepper] grown in lead (Pb) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60mg/kg soil)

Supplemented Soli (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 0011g/kg Soli)							
SH 80	MASH 88	MASH 97	MASH ES-1	Treatment			
Lead (Pb)      Image: master of the second s							
2±6.284 A]	402.495±14.413 [BC]	334.667±31.663 [EFGH]	390.457±20.716 [BCDE]	408.323a±66.361			
5±27.642 739) B]	390.372±10.060 (3.011) [BCDE]	328.275±19.923 (1.909) [FGHI]	393.115±10.819 (-0.680) [BCD]	383.196b±38.753 (6.153)			
7±26.805 160) FGH]	379.967±10.806 (5.597) [BCDEF]	316.125±27.216 (5.540) [GHIJ]	351.507±9.433 (9.975) [GHIJ]	346.396c±30.062 (15.166)			
)±18.792 814) HIJ]	345.905±34.275 (14.059) [CDEFG]	325.375±23.995 (2.776) [FGHI]	310.565±28.519 (20.461) [GHIJ]	322.811d±28.554 (20.942)			
′±34.968 148) IIJK]	316.845±13.955 (21.279) [GHIJ]	299.430±31.831 (10.528) [GHIJ]	300.957±8.855 (22.921) [GHIJ]	302.443e±24.214 (25.930)			
2±16.530 231) IJK]	301.457±17.981 (25.102) [GHIJ]	272.117±15.951 (18.690) [IJK]	281.335±13.475 (27.947) [HIJK]	285.493f±18.095 (30.081)			
2±8.085 117) IK]	292.317±8.406 (27.373) [GHIJK]	241.280±8.519 (27.904) [K]	274.807±20.627 (29.619) [IJK]	268.954g±22.054 (34.132)			
a±83.986	347.051a±44.992 (-41.151)	302.467c±38.740 (-23.018)	328.963b±49.185 (-33.794)	331.088±59.067			
a	±83.986	±83.986 (-41.151)		±83.986 (-41.151) (-23.018) (-33.794)			

[Values represent means ± SE].Values in parentheses represent %age increase (+)/decrease (-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. Values followed by dissimilar letters, are different at P = 0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters).

Table 5: Ni	itrate Reductase	(EC 1.6.6.1)	Activity	(NRA)	of 45	days	old	mash	[ <i>Vigna</i>
mungo(L.)He	pper] grown in lea	ad (Pb) supple	mented s	oil (0, 10	, 20, 30	, 40 50	) and	60mg/	kg soil)
[Values repre	esent means ± SE].								

Lead(Pb)	MASH 80	MASH 88	MASH 97	MASH ES-1	TREATMENTSMEA
(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)		NS (LSD=0.079 ;n=16)			
Control	0.796±0.146 [ABCD]	0.818±0.216 [ABC]	0.688±0.031 [CDE]	0.660±0.070 [DEF]	0.741 a±0.140
10	0.935±0.082 (-17.462) [A]	0.850±0.069 (-3.911) [AB]	0.701±0.115 (-1.889) [BCDE]	0.61±0.063 (7.575) [EFG]	0.776 a±0.148 (-4.723)
20	0.679±0.072 (14.698) [CDE]	0.722±0.133 (11.735) [BCDE]	0.583±0.074 (15.261) [EFGH]	0.402±0.077 (39.090) [IJ]	0.597 b±0.152 (19.433)
30	0.490±0.010 (38.442) [GHIJ]	0.580±0.080 (29.095) [EFGH]	0.587±0.106 (14.680) [EFG]	0.414±0.062 (37.272) [IJ]	0.518 b±0.098 (30.094)
40	0.491±0.076 (38.316) [GHIJ]	0.380±0.057 (53.545) [IJ]	0.521±0.090 (24.273) [FGHI]	0.343±0.108 (48.030) [JK]	0.434 c±0.107 (41.430)
50	0.416±0.224 (47.738) [IJ]	0.373±0.086 (54.400) [IJ]	0.430±0.121 (37.500) [HIJ]	0.189±0.030 (71.363) [KL]	0.352 d±0.157 (52.496)
60	0.499±0.108 (37.311) [GHI]	0.407±0.264 (50.244) [IJ]	0.166±0.079 (75.872) [L]	0.199±0.063 (69.848) [KL]	0.318 d±0.198 (57.085)
GENOTYPES MEANS →	0.615 a±0.210	0.590 a±0.237 (4.065)	0.525 b±0.191 (14.634)	0.404 c±0.184 (34.030)	0.533±0.220
		(LSD=0.05	59 ; n=28)	·	

Values in parentheses represent %age increase (+)/decrease (-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. Values followed by dissimilar letters, are different at P = 0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (uppercase letters).

#### DISCUSSION

In the experiment, chlorophyll a and b concentration decreased with increasing metal stress (Table 1and 2).Chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll are reported to be reduced in metal treated plants (Somashekaraiah et al., 1992; Siedlecka and Krupa, 1996; Zhang et al 2018). Reduced contents of chlorophyll might be resulted by inhibition of uptake and transportation of other essential metal elements such as Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> by antagonistic effects and competition of heavy metals with these elements (Liu et al., 2004). Reduction in chlorophyll contents under metal stress can also be due to its decomposition by increase in chlorophyllase activity (Hegedus et al., 2001). The reduced in chlorophyll contents in plants exposed to heavy metal stress are believed to be due to reduced activity of protochlorophyl lidereductase (Van Assche and Clijsters, 1990) associated with chlorophyll biosynthesis: impairment in the supply of Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> (Shanker et al., 2005) and inhibition of important enzymes, such as δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALA-dehydratase) (Padmaja et al., 1990) because synthesis of  $\delta$ -aminolevulinic acid first identified (ALA) is the step in tetrapyrrole biosynthesis, leading to the formation of hemes and chlorophyll (Garnick and Sassa, 1971). Depleted chlorophyll contents of heavy metals treated plants might be also due to reduced ALAD activity, which results in lesser availability of porphobilinogen (PBG) required for chlorophyll biosynthesis (Prasad and Prasad, 1987).

Metal stress reduced carotenoids contents (Table 3). Reduction in carotenoids contents might be attributed to activation of osmotic stress which, in turn, activates the biosynthesis of abscisic acid (ABA). One major step in ABA biosynthesis is the carotenoid cleavage catalyzed by a 9-*cis*epoxycarotenoiddioxygenase (NCED). Carotenoids biosynthesis might be regulated at transnscriptional level as the genes controlling carotenoids biosynthesis are sensitive to the external environmental factors. However, little is known about the mechanisms of the enzyme(s) involved in carotenoids biosynthesis in plants (Bartley and Scolnik, 1995). Another possible reason for reduction in carotenoids contents might be biosynthesis of anthocyanins. The anthocyanins are synthesized during stress and interfere with carotenoids resulting in reduced rate of photosynthesis. Such interference might be due to binding of anthocyanin with carotenoids making it unavailable for assay (Burger and Edwards, 1996).

The experimental results revealed a gradual reduction in leaf area index with increasing concentration of metal (Table 4). Leaf area reduction can be due to growth inhibition in metal treated plants (Ouariti and Ghorbal, 1997). Leaf growth reduction might be the result of low water potential due to very negative solute potential in the soil solution (Hayward and Spurr, 1944). The reduction in leaf area could be assumed due to reduction of chlorophyll contents and inhibition of photosynthetic activity; Decreased activities of many enzymes involved in the fixation of CO2 (Barcelo, 1988) and changes in the thylakoid organization, (Fodor et al., 1996) may contribute to reduction in photosynthesis and ultimately arowth. Reduction in growth perhaps is conducive to ROS production by stress also. Because lower osmotic potential by metal toxicity which contributed to growth reduction may be conducive to low concentration of osmotica such as carbohydrates and amino acids (Zhang et al., 1999). Reduced cytokinin contents by metal might be responsible for growth reduction by inhibition of cell division and cell elongation. Decreased cytokinin levels might cause a decrease in nitrate reductase activity (Bueno et al., 1994). This reduction in nitrate reductase activity might be ascribed to nutrients limitations (Andrews et al., 1999; Pilipovic et al., 2019).

The experimental results revealed, as a general trend, reduction in nitrate reductase activity by lead (Pb) stress (Table 5). Inhibition of NRA by metal might be caused either by reduction of enzyme biosynthesis or by suppression of activity of existing enzyme. Inhibition of NRA may happen due to destabilization of the NR thiolgroups by metal (Jones and Mhuimhneachain 1995). It has been suggested that metal binding to -SH groups of the enzyme triggers conformational changes in the enzyme causing its inactivation. Reduced NRA may be attributed to reduced N contents availability to plant either due to shortage in soil or consumption by plant (Campbell 1999). Lead (Pb) binds with sulfhydryl group of ATPase inactivating the enzyme (Kennedy and Gonsalves 1989). As a result,

ATPase related processes such as plasmalemma polarization and H+ efflux may be inhibited under metal stress (Liamas et al 2000). Stress mediated decreased cytokinin levels might cause a decrease in nitrate reductase activity (Bueno et al 1994). Reduction in nitrate reductase activity owes to Phosphorus limited plants created by metal stress through reduction of kinetin (Gniazdowska and Rychter 2000). Reduction in nitrate reductase activity might be due to decreased chlorophyll contents or reduced rate of photosynthesis (Rai et al., 1992; Li et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018). It has been suggested that NR activity depends upon active photosynthesis or production of photosynthesis. It requires photosynthetically generated reductant (NADH) and energy (Raghuram and Sopory 1995).

The experimental results revealed some exceptions to the expected augmentation where photosynthetic pigments and leaf growth were increased by metal imposition (Table 1-4). This is in agreement with the reports of earlier workers who reported that plants tend to adapt themselves to cope-up with metal toxicity (Mangi et al.,, 1978 and Garg and Chandra, 1994). According to Oliver and Naidu (2003) plants show different reactions against Pb toxicity. Some of them are sensitive and the others have more tolerance. Plant sensitivity to heavy metals depends on a physiological network of and molecular mechanisms such as; accumulation and binding of metal to extracellular exudates and cell wall constituents during uptake; transport of heavy metals from cytoplasm to vacuoles, metal complexes formation inside the cell by various substances, osmoprotectants and osmolytes accumulation with induction of antioxidative enzymes and alteration in plant metabolism for proper functioning and repairing of stress injured cell components (Cho et al., 2003). A few cases of increase in the plant biomass due to low metal pollutants have been reported (Breckle, 1991). The absence of decline in photosynthetic pigments could be attributed to the fact that low dose of metal accumulates in roots than in the shoot and the effect is restricted to the root but not in the shoot (Selvam and Wong, 2008).

An increase in carotenoids content is suggested a defense strategy of the plants to combat metal stress (Sinha et al., 2007). Increased carotenoids contents by metal stress might be ascribed as cellular antioxidants (thiols, carotenoids, ascorbate, etc.) which may play an important role in inducing resistance against free radicals formed during various metabolic reactions leading to oxidative stress (Kumar et al., 2002).

The increase in LAI could be due to the presence of the phenomenon of hormesis, a dose dependent response of the seedlings where the low dose stimulates the growth while high dose suppresses the growth (Peralta-Videa et al., 2001; Calabrese, 2002; Shah et al., 2008). Leaf NRA in *Vigna radiate* increased with increasing Pb (Singh et al., 1997)

Plant tolerance mechanism by osmoprotactant and antioxidants formation at low concentration of metal stress might be a reason for deviations from expected results. High level of proline, especially in roots, can eliminate hydroxyl prevent radicals. maintain osmoregulation, enzyme destruction (Kuznetsov and Shevyakova, 1997) and decrease toxicity of heavy metals (Alia and Saradhi, 1991). It has been shown lead can cause oxidative stress in plants (Verma and Dubey, 2003).

### CONCLUSION

Lead concentration of 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> was proven as nutrient while the concentrations above 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> were proven as pollutants

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared that present study was performed in absence of any conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the laboratory staff for helping in conduction of experiment.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GY and SF designed and performed the experiments. AS and SS wrote the manuscript. and analysed the data. GN and MN reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

# Copyrights: © 2020@ author (s).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

#### REFERENCES

- Aendo, P., R. Netvichian, S. Khaodhiar, S.Thongyuan, T. Songserm and P. Tulayakul,(2020). Pb, Cd, and Cu play a major role in health risk from contamination in duck meat and offal for food production in Thailand. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 1– 10.DOI:10.1007/s12011-020-02040-y
- Ali, H. and E. Khan (2019).Trophic transfer, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification of non-essential hazardous heavy metals and metalloids in food chains/webs-concepts and implications for wildlife and human health.Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess. Int. J. 25, 1353–1376..
- Alia, P. and P. Saradhi (1991).Proline accumulation under heavy metal stress. J. Plant Physiol. 138, 554-558.
- Andrews, M., J.I. Sprent, J.A. Raven, and P.E. Eady (1999).Relationship between shoot to root ratio, growth and leaf soluble protein concentration of *Pisum sativum*,*Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Triticum aestivum* under different nutrient deficiencies.Plant Cell Env. 22, 949–958.
- Arnon, D.I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast.Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant physiol. 24, 1-15.
- Asad, S.A., M. Farooq and A. Afzal (2019).Integrated phytobial heavy metals remediation strategies for sustainable clean environment-A review. Chemosphere, 217, 925–941.
- Bahri, N.B., B. Laribi. and S. Soufi (2015). Growth performance, photosynthetic status and bioaccumulation of heavy metals by Paulownia tomentosa (Thunb.)Steud growing on contaminated soils. Int. J. Agron. Agr. Res. 6, 32–43.
- Barcelo, J., B. Gunse and C. Poshenrieder (1985).Effect of chromium (VI) on mineral element composition of bush beans, J. Plant Nut. 8, 211-217.
- Bartley, G.E. and P.A. Scolnik (1995).Plant carotenoids, pigments for photoprotection, visual attracuon, and human health.Plant Cell. 7, 1027-1038.
- Biswas, S., Banerjee, R., Bhattacharyya, D., Patra, G., Das, A.K. and Das, S.K (2019). Technological investigation into duck meat and its products-a potential alternative to chicken.World Poul. Sci. J. 75, 609–620.
- Breckle, C.W. (1991). Growth under heavy metals. In, Waisel Y, Eshel A, Kafkafi U, eds. Plant roots, the hidden half. New York, NY,

Marcel Dekker, p 351-373.

- Budijono, M.H., E. Purwanto, K. Eddiwan and B.Y. Siregar (2017). The phytoremediation of Pb and Zn in the Siak River by *Ceratophyllum demersum*.Int.J. Sci. Res. 6, 1522–1525.
- Bueno, M.S., A. Alonso and N.Villalobos (1994). Nitrate reduction in cotyledons of *Cicerarietinum*L., regulatory role of cytokinins. Plant Sci. 95, 117–124.
- Bueno, M.S., A. Alonso and N. Villalobos (1994). Nitrate reduction in cotyledons of *Cicer arietinum* L., regulatory role of cytokinins. Plant Sci. 95, 117–124.
- Burger, J. and G.E. Edwards (1996). Photosynthetic efficiency, and photodamage by UV and visible radiation, in red versus green leaf coleus varieties. Plant Cell Physiol. 37, 395-399.
- Calabrese, E.J. (2002). Defining hormesis.Hum. Exp .Toxicol, 21, 91-97.
- Campbell, W.H. (1999). Nitrate reductase structure, function and regulation: bridging the gap between biochemistry and physiology. Annu Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 50, 277–303.
- Cho, V.H. and J.O. Park (2003).Mercury-induced oxidative stress in tomato seedlings. Plant Sci. 156, 1-9.
- Davies, B.H. (1976). Carotenoids.In,Chemistry and Biochemistry of Plant Pigments, 2nd edn (Ed. By T. W. Goodwin), pp. 38-165. Academic Press, New York.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F-Test. Biometrics. 11, 1-42.
- Ewais, E.A. (1997). Effects of cadmium, nickel and lead on growth, chlorophyll content and proteins of weeds. Biol. Plant. 39, 403–410.
- Fargasova, A. (2001). Phytotoxic effects of Cd, Zn, Pb, Cu and Fe on *Sinapis alba* L. seedling and their accumulation in roots and shoots. Biol. Plant. 44, 471–473.
- Fodor, F. E. Sarvari, F. Lang, Z. Szigeti and E. Cseh (1996). Effects of Pb and Cd on cucumber depending on the Fe-complex in the culture solution. J Plant Physiol. 148, 434-439.
- Garg, P. and P. Chandra (1994). The duck weed *Wolffia globosa* as an indicator of heavy metal pollution, sensitivity to chromium and cadmium. Environ. Monit. Assess. 29, 89–95.
- Garnick, S. and S. Sassa (1971). acid synthetase and control of heme and chlorophyll synthesis. In, Vogel, H.J. (Ed.), Metabolic Regulations, vol. 5. Academic

Press, New York, p. 141

- Gniazdowska, A. and A.M. Rychter (2000). Nitrate uptake by bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) roots under phosphate deficiency. Plant Soil. 226, 79–85.
- Hamid, N., N. Bukhari and F. Jawaid (2010). Physiological responses of *Phaseolus vulgaris* to different lead concentrations. Pak. J. Bot. 42, 239-246.
- Hayward, H.E. and W.B. Spurr (1944).Effect of isosmotic concentrations of inorganic and organic substrates on entry of water into corn roots.Bot. Gaz. 106, 131-139.
- Hegedus, A., S. Erdel and G. Horvath (2001). Comparative studies of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> detoxifying enzymes in green and greening barely seedlings under Cd stress. Plant Sci. 160, 1085-1093.
- Jackson, M.L. (1962). Soil Chemical analysis. Constable and company, Ltd. England.
- James, A.D. (1981). Legumes in United States. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, Maryland Plenum press New York.
- Jones, P. and M.N. Mhuimhneachain (1995). The activity and stability of wheat nitrate reductase in vitro. Phytochem, 24, 385-392.
- Kennedy, C.D. and F.A.N. Gonsalvez (1989). The action of divalent Zn, Cd, Hg, Cu and Pb ions on the ATPase activity of plasma membrane fraction isolated from roots of *Zea mays*.Plant Soil.117,167–175.
- Kevresan, S., N. Petrovic, M. Popovic and J. Kandrac (2001). Nitrogen and protein metabolism in young pea plants as affected by different concentrations of nickel, cadmium, lead and molybdenum. J. Plant Nut. 24, 1633-1644.
- Khan, M.I.R., F. Nazir, M. Asgher, T.S. Per, and N.A. Khan (2015). Selenium and sulfur influence ethylene formation and alleviate cadmium-induced oxidative stress by improving proline and glutathione production in wheat. J. Plant Physiol. 173, 9–18.
- Kumar, A., P. Vajpayee, M.B. Ali, R.D.Tripathi, N. Singh, U.N. Rai and S.N. Singh (2002). Biochemical responses of *Cassia siamea* Lamk grown on coal combustion residue (fly-ash). Bull. Environ. Contam.Toxicol. 68, 675–683.
- Kuznetsov, W. and N.L. Shevyakova (1997). Stress responses two tobacco cells to high temperature and salinity, proline accumulation and phosphorylation of polypeptids. Physiol. Plant. 101, 477-482.
- Li, Y.C., L.L. Liang, and Q.C. Wang (2012).

Influence of Pb on photosynthesis and chlorophyllorophyll fluorescence characteristics in *Pyrus ussuriensis* and *Malusbaccata*. J. Northwest Forest. Univ. 27, 21–25.

- Liamas, A., C.I. Ullrich and A. Sanz (2000). Cd<sup>2+</sup> effects on transmembrane electrical potential difference, respiration and membrane permeability of rice (*Oryza sativa* L) roots. Plant Soil. 219, 21–28.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. (1987). Chlorophyll and carotenoides; Pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes.Methods in Enzymol. 148, 350 - 385.
- Liu, J., Z. Xiong, T. Li and H. Huang (2004). Bioaccumulation and ecophysiological responses to copper stress in two populations of *Rumex dentatus* L. from Cu contaminated and non-contaminated sites. Environ. Exp. Bot. 52, 43 - 51.
- Maleki, M., M. Ghorbanpour and K. Kariman (2017). Physiological and antioxidative responses of medicinal plants exposed to heavy metals stress. Plant Genetic 11, 247– 254.
- Mangi, J., J.K. Schmidt, L. Pawkow, L. Gains and P.Turner (1978).Effect of the chromium on some aquatic plants. Environ. Pollut. 16, 285–291.
- Oliver, D. and R. Naidu (2003). Uptake of Cu, Pb, Cd, As and DDT by vegetables grown in urban environments. Proceedings of the 5th National workshop on the assessment of site contamination.Natio. Environ. Prot. Coun. Ser. Corp., pp, 151-161.
- Ouariti, H. and M. H. Ghorbal (1997).Responses of bean and tomato plants to cadmium, growth, mineral nutrition and nitrate reduction. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 35, 347 354.
- Padmaja, K., D.D.K. Prasad and A.R.K. Prasad (1990).Inhibition of chlorophyll biosynthesis in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. seedling by cadmium acetate. Photosynthetica. 24, 399–405.
- Peralta-Videa, J.R., J.L. Gardea-Torresdey, K.J. Tiemann, E. Gomez, S. Arteaga, E. Rascon and J.G. Parsons (2001). Uptake and effects of five heavy metals on seed germination and plant growth in Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.).Bull. Environ. Contam.Toxicol.66, 727-734.
- Pilipovic, A., R.S. Zalesny and S. Roncevic (2019). Growth, physiology, and phytoextraction potential of poplar and willow

established in soils amended with heavymetal contaminated, dredged river sediments. J. Env. Manag. 239, 352–365.

- Prasad, D.D.K. and A.R.K. Prasad (1987).Altered  $\delta$ -aminoluvelinic acid metabolism by Pb and Hg in germinating seedling of Bajra (*Pennisetum typhoidenum*). J. Plant Physiol. 127, 241-249.
- Prasad, M. N. V., P. Malec, A. Waloszek, M. Bajko and K. Strzlka (2001).Physiological responses of *Lemna trisulea* (duckweed) to cadmium and copper bioaccumulation. Plant Sci. 161, 881 - 889.
- Puttaswamy, S.S., S. Timmagowda and K. Krishnamurthy (1976).Determination of leaf area in pulses.Curr. Res. 5, pp. 47
- Raghuram, N. and S.K. Sopory (1995). Light regulation of nitrate reductase gene expression mechanism and signal response coupling. Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants. 1, 103– 104.
- Rai, U.N., R.D. Tripathi and N. Kumar (1992). Bioaccumulation of chromium and toxicity on growth, photosynthesis in vivo nitrate reductase activity and protein content in a chlorococcalean green alga *Glaucocystis ostochinearum*. Chemosphere. 25, 721–732.
- Richards, L.A. (1954). Diagnosis and improvements of saline and alkali soils.USDA Hand book No 60, US Govt. Printing Office, Washington, DC. p, 160.
- Rizwan, M., S. Ali and T. Abbas (2018). Residual effects of biochar on growth, photosynthesis and cadmium uptake in rice (Oryza sativa, L.) under Cd stress with different water conditions. J. Env. Manag. 206, 676–683.
- Ross, S.M. (1994). Toxic Metals in Soil and Plant System. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., New York.
- Samiullah, K. and N.K. Nazar (1983). Influence of lead and cadmium on the growth and nutrient concentration of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*) and egg-plant (*Solanum melongena*). Plant and Soil. 74, 387–394
- Selvam, A. and J.W.C. Wong (2008). Phytochelation and synthesis and Cadmium uptake by *Brassica napus*. Environmental Technol. 2, 765-773.
- Seshadri, B., N.S. Olan and R. Naidu (2015).Rhizosphere-induced heavy metal(loid) transformation in relation to bioavailability and remediation. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nut. 15, 524-548.

Shah, F.R., N. Ahmad, K.R. Masood and D.M.

Zahid (2008).The influence of Cd and Cr on the biomass production of Shisham (*Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb.) seedlings.Pak. J. Bot. 40, 1341-1348.

- Shanker, A.K., C. Cervantes, H. Loza-Tavera and S. Avudainayagam (2005).Chromium toxicity in plants.Environ. Int. 31, 739-753.
- Sharma, D.C. and C.P. Sharma (1993).Chromium uptake and its effects on growth and biological yield of wheat.Cereal Res. Commun. 21,317–21.
- Shi, G., S. Xia and J. Ye (2014). PEG-simulated drought stress decreases cadmium accumulation in castor bean by altering root morphology. Env. Exp. Bot. 2014, 111:127– 134.
- Siedlecka, A. and Z. Krupa (1996). Interaction between cadmium and iron and its effects on photosynthetic capacity of primary leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 34, 833-841.
- Singh, R.P., S. Dabas, A. Choudhary and R. Maheshwari (1997).Effect of lead on nitrate reductase activity and alleviation of lead toxicity by inorganic salts and 6-benzylaminopurine. Biol. Plant. 40, 399-404.
- Sinha S., A.K. Gupta and K. Bhatt (2007). Uptake and translocation of metals in fenugreek grown on soil amended with tannery sludge, involvement of antioxidants. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 67, 267-277.
- Somashekaraiah, B.V., K. Padmaja and A.R.K. Prasad (1992).Phytotoxicity of cadmium ions on germinating seedlings of mungbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Involvement of lipid peroxides in chlorophyll degradation. Physiol. Plant. 85, 85-89.
- Stoeva, N. and T. Bineva (2003).Oxidative changes and photosynthesis in oat plants grown in contaminated soil. Bulg. J. Plant Physiol. 29, 87–95.
- Sym, G.J. (1983). Optimization of the in vivo assay conditions for nitrate reductase in barley. J. Sci. Food Agric. 35, 725-730.
- Van Assche, F. and H. Clijsters (1990).Effects of metals on enzyme activity in plants. Plant Cell Environ. 13,195- 206.
- Verma, S. and R.S. Dubey (2003). Lead toxicity induces lipid peroxidation and alters the activities of antioxidant enzymes in growing rice plants. Plant Sci. 164, 645-655.
- Yang, Y., L. Zhang, X. Huang., Y. Zhou, Q. Quan,
  Y. Li and X. Zhu1 (2020) Response of photosynthesis to different concentrations of heavy metals in *Davidia involucrate*.PLoS

ONE 15(3): e0228563. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228563

- Zhan, Q., M. Zhang and Y. Ding (2018). Composition of photosynthetic pigments and photosynthetic characteristics in green and yellow sectors of the variegated, *Aucuba japonica*, 'Variegata' leaves. Flora, 240, 25– 33.
- Zhang, A., A. Jennings, P.W. Barlow and B.G. Forde (1999).Dual pathways for regulation of root branching by nitrate. Plant Biol. 96, 6529–6534.